arXiv:1703.04411v1 [math.DG] 13 Mar 2017

A construction of local Lie groupoids using Lie algebroid sprays

Alejandro Cabrera, Ioan Mărcuț, María Amelia Salazar

March 14, 2017

Abstract

We give a direct, explicit and self-contained construction of a local Lie groupoid integrating a given Lie algebroid which only depends on the choice of a connection. We also give a complete account of local Lie theory based on these explicit constructions. On the resulting local Lie groupoids, called spray groupoids, we obtain formulas for integrating infinitesimally multiplicative objects. These general results produce concrete integrations of several geometric structures: (Nijenhuis-)Poisson, Dirac, Jacobi structures by local symplectic (Nijenhuis), presymplectic, contact groupoids, respectively.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms **5**
2.1 Definition of local Lie groupoids 5
2.2 The Maurer-Cartan form 6
2.3 Tubular structures 7
2.4 Local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms 7

3 The local Lie groupoid associated to a spray **11**
3.1 Lie algebroid sprays 11
3.2 The construction of the spray groupoid 12
3.3 Local integration of algebroid morphisms to the spray groupoid 17
3.4 Spray groupoids versus tubular groupoids 18

4 Multiplicative forms on spray groupoids **19**
4.1 Multiplicative forms (trivial coefficients) 19
4.2 Multiplicative forms as morphisms 22
4.3 The spray tangent groupoid 24
4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.3 25
4.5 Representations 25
4.6 Multiplicative forms with coefficients and Spencer operators 27

5 Applications **29**
5.1 Local integration of Poisson structures 30
5.2 Closed IM-2-forms on Poisson manifolds 31
5.2.1 The case of invertible IM-forms 32
5.2.2 Deformations of a multiplicative symplectic structure 32

5.2.3 Local integration of Poisson-Nijenhuis structures 33
5.2.4 Local integration of generalized complex structure 35
5.3 Local integration of twisted Dirac structures 35
5.4 Local integration of multiplicative distributions 36
5.5 Local integration of Jacobi structures 38

1 Introduction

It is not entirely true that *"Lie algebroids are to Lie groupoids as Lie algebras are to Lie groups"* (as a general reference to Lie algebroid and Lie groupoid theory, see [29]). The main difference is that the Lie functor, which differentiates Lie groupoids to Lie algebroids, is not (essentially) surjective, i.e. there are Lie algebroids which do not admit any integration by a smooth Lie groupoid [1, 11]. However, the non-integrability issue is of a global nature, and it can be overcome by considering **local Lie groupoids** instead (i.e. the structure maps are defined only locally, around the units - see Subsection 2.1); the following holds:

Theorem 1.1 *Consider the category* locLieGrpd*, whose objects are local Lie groupoids and whose morphisms are germs around the unit section of Lie groupoid morphisms, and the category* LieAlgd *of Lie algebroids. The Lie functor* Lie : locLieGrpd $\to$ LieAlgd *induces an equivalence between these categories.*

This result entails two parts:

(A) Given two local Lie groupoids, every morphism between their respective Lie algebroids can be integrated to a local Lie groupoid map, and moreover, the germ around the unit section of this integration is unique.

(B) Every Lie algebroid is (isomorphic to) the Lie algebroid of a local Lie groupoid.

Validity of Theorem 1.1 has been assumed already since the work of Pradines in the 1960s [31, 32, 33], however, part (B) was proven only later. In the setting of Poisson geometry, Coste *et al.* [8] constructed a local symplectic groupoid integrating a given Poisson manifold, by using the existence of symplectic realizations. Most likely, this result, when applied to the linear Poisson structure on the dual of a Lie algebroid, can be used to prove existence of local integrations in general; however, we are not aware of any written account of such an attempt. To our knowledge, the first complete proof of part (B) of Theorem 1.1 appeared in the work of Crainic and Fernandes on integrability of Lie algebroids [11, Corollary 5.1]. The proof is a by-product of the main construction of their paper: the *Weinstein groupoid* of a Lie algebroid. Namely, they prove that a submanifold of the Banach manifold of C^1-A-paths, which is transverse to the A-homotopy foliation and contains the unit section, inherits a local Lie groupoid structure with multiplication inherited coming from concatenation of A-paths.

The first main result of this paper (Theorem 3.6) gives an explicit construction of a local Lie groupoid integrating a given Lie algebroid, yielding a direct proof of part (B) of Theorem 1.1. For completeness, a proof of the more standard part (A) is also included (Theorem 2.3).

Given a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot,\cdot], \rho)$, the input for our construction is an ordinary linear connection ∇ on the vector bundle $q : A \to M$. Its associated **Lie algebroid spray** is the vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ defined at $a \in A$ as the horizontal lift with respect to ∇ of $\rho(a)$ (in fact, more general

sprays are considered). The first step of the construction is to realize the Maurer-Cartan form of the local Lie groupoid. Concretely, using the spray V, one builds a Lie algebroid morphism

$$\theta : T^q U \to A, \quad T^q U := \ker(dq) \subset TU,$$

over an open neighborhood $U \subset A$ of the zero-section, which is a fibre-wise isomorphism. The needed "realization" θ of A was given via an explicit formula in [36]; here, a different approach to the same construction is presented. The **local spray Lie groupoid** $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ associated to V has total space an open neighborhood $G_V \subset A$ of the unit section, small enough such that the structure maps listed below are defined:

- the unit section $u : M \to G_V$ is the zero-section;
- the source map $\sigma : G_V \to M$ is the restriction of the bundle projection $q : A \to M$;
- the target map is $\tau = q \circ \phi_V^1$, where ϕ_V^t denotes the local flow of V;
- the inversion map is $\iota = -\phi_V^1$;
- the multiplication $\mu : G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V \to U$ is defined as $\mu(a, b) = k_1$, where $k_t \in A_{q(b)}$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is the solution of the ODE:

$$\frac{dk_t}{dt} = \theta_{k_t}^{-1}(\phi_V^t(a)), \quad k_0 = b.$$

Theorem 3.6 states that these maps define indeed a local Lie groupoid with Maurer-Cartan form θ, thus, providing an explicit proof for the existence of a local Lie groupoid integrating a given Lie algebroid.

In the case of a Lie algebra $A = \mathfrak{g}$, with spray $V = 0$, Theorem 3.6 produces the local Lie group around $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ defined by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula; see Example 3.12.

The second main result of this paper is an explicit formula for integrating infinitesimally multiplicative forms (IM-forms) on a Lie algebroid to local multiplicative forms on the local spray groupoid (see [4] for multiplicative forms). A differential k-form $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ defined on a neighborhood U of the units of a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is called **multiplicative** if it satisfies the compatibility condition: $\mu^*\omega = \mathrm{pr}_1^*\omega + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\omega$ on a neighborhood of M in $G \times_{\sigma,\tau} G$. The infinitesimal version of these objects, called **IM-k-forms** on the Lie algebroid A of G, consist of pairs of bundle maps

$$(l, \nu) : A \to \wedge^{k-1} T^*M \oplus \wedge^k T^*M$$

satisfying certain compatibility relations (4.16) with the Lie algebroid structure. Local multiplicative k-forms G can be differentiated to IM-k-forms on A; moreover, this operation is a bijection, after we identify multiplicative forms which coincide around the units.

Theorem 4.3 states that, for a local spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$, the following formula provides the inverse to the differentiation operation:

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \Big(d\big(l^*(\alpha_{\mathrm{can}}^{k-1})\big) + \nu^*(\alpha_{\mathrm{can}}^k) \Big)\, dt,$$

where $\alpha_{\mathrm{can}}^p \in \Omega^p(\wedge^p T^*M)$ denotes the tautological p-form on $\wedge^p T^*M$, and ω is defined in a neighborhood of the unit section of $G_V \subset A$. Further, Theorem 4.18 is a generalization of this

result for multiplicative forms on G_V *with coefficients in a representation*, respectively *Spencer operators* on A.

Many geometric structures can be encoded by certain IM-forms (and more generally, by Spencer operators) on Lie algebroids. At the groupoid level, these correspond to geometric structures of a similar nature, but which are *non-degenerate* and multiplicative. Therefore, by applying Theorems 4.3 and 4.18, in Section 5 concrete local integrations are build for the following types of structures: Poisson, Nijenhuis-Poisson (including holomorphic Poisson), generalized complex, twisted Dirac, and Jacobi. This yields new proofs of the main results in the recent works [3, 12, 20, 30].

As an example, consider the case of a Poisson manifold (M, π) in more detail. Let ∇ be an ordinary connection on M and let $V \in \mathfrak{X}(T^*M)$ the vector field defined at $a \in T^*M$ as the lift of $\pi^\sharp(a)$ with respect to ∇. The resulting **local symplectic groupoid** constructed here, which integrates the cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*_\pi M$, is given by

$$(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi), \quad \omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^*(\omega_{\mathrm{can}})\ dt,$$

where $G_V \subset T^*M$ is a neighborhood of M, and $\omega_{\mathrm{can}} = -d\alpha^1_{\mathrm{can}}$ is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*M. In this case, the Maurer-Cartan form is induced by the symplectic structure. The multiplication is $\mu(a, b) = k_1$, where $k_t \in T^*_{q(b)}M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is the solution of the ODE:

$$i_{\frac{dk_t}{dt}}\omega = -\tau^*(\phi_V^t(a)), \quad k_0 = b.$$

Spray groupoids vs the space of A-paths. The constructions presented here are rooted in the following observations. Given a connection ∇ on A, for any local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ integrating A there is an induced exponential map $\exp_\nabla : U \to G$ [11], defined on an open neighborhood $U \subset A$ of M, and which is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Transporting back the structure maps of G along $\exp_\nabla$, one obtains a local Lie groupoid. Remarkably, the germ of this local Lie groupoid is independent of G and intrinsic to A and ∇, and it coincides with the local spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ (see Corollary 3.17); this fact is non-trivial and is supported on Theorems 3.6 and 2.3. The above argument would yield a proof of Theorem 3.6 that uses the existence of local Lie groupoids; however, we do not follow this path, but give explicit formulas for the groupoid operations and prove directly the validity of all the axioms.

A possible candidate for the local Lie groupoid is the one constructed in [11]. Namely, let $P(A)$ denote the space of C^1-A-paths, and consider the exponential map corresponding to ∇

$$\hat{\mathrm{exp}}_V : U \to P(A), \quad a \mapsto (t \mapsto \phi_V^t(a))_{t\in[0,1]}, \tag{1.1}$$

which is defined in a neighborhood $U \subset A$ of M. It is shown in [11] that, after shrinking U, $\hat{\mathrm{exp}}_V$ is transverse to the A-homotopy foliation $\mathcal{F}$, and that there is an induced local Lie groupoid structure on $G := \hat{\mathrm{exp}}_V(U)$ integrating A, with multiplication coming from concatenation of A-paths, as in the construction of the **Weinstein groupoid** $G(A) = P(A)/\mathcal{F}$ associated to A [11, Corollary 5.1].

The origin of the formula for integrating IM-forms also becomes transparent in this example. Namely, an IM-k-form (l, ν) on A induces naturally a differential k-form on $P(A)$:

$$\hat{\omega} = \int_0^1 ev^*\Big(d\big(l^*(\alpha^{k-1}_{\mathrm{can}})\big) + \nu^*(\alpha^k_{\mathrm{can}})\Big)\ dt,$$

where $ev : P(A) \times [0,1] \to A$ denotes $(a,t) \mapsto a_t$. It follows that the local form ω on G_V from Theorem 4.3 coincides with $\hat{\exp}_V^* \hat{\omega}$. The fact that ω is multiplicative and integrates (l, ν) can be seen as a consequence of the fact that $\hat{\omega}$ is basic relative to the A-homotopy foliation on $P(A)$ (see also [4, Rmk. 4 after Thm. 2]). This argument is explained in detail in [3]. However, the proof of Theorem 4.3 that we shall provide here is independent of these observations, and does not involve any infinite dimensional differential geometry; it is based on the general properties of the spray groupoid construction.

Contents. In Section 2, first, some general notions related to local Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids are recalled, and then Theorem 2.3 about the local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms is proven. Section 3 presents the construction of the spray groupoid (Theorem 3.6) and gives the explicit description of integrations of morphisms whose domain is a spray groupoid (Corollary 3.13). Section 4 discusses the integration of infinitesimal multiplicative forms and Spencer operators to multiplicative forms on the Spray groupoid (Theorems 4.20 and 4.18). Section 5 contains the applications of these results to the local integration of various geometric structures.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Marius Crainic, Pedro Frejlich and Rui Loja Fernandes for useful discussions. I.M. was supported by the NWO Veni grant 613.009.031 and the NSF grant DMS 14-05671. M.A.S. was a Post-Doctorate at IMPA, funded by CAPES-Brazil. A.C. would like to thank CNPq for financial support.

2 Local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms

In this section we prove that, given two local Lie groupoids, any Lie algebroid morphism between their Lie algebroids integrates to a local Lie groupoid map and moreover, this map is unique in a neighborhood of the units. The integration is given by an explicit formula expressed in terms of two notions which will be discussed in the next subsections: **Maurer-Cartan forms** and **tubular structures** for local Lie groupoids.

2.1 Definition of local Lie groupoids

Let us begin by explaining what we mean by a local Lie groupoid. Consider a manifold G, the space of arrows, a closed embedded submanifold $M \subset G$, the space of units, and a submersion $\sigma : G \to M$, the source map, such that $\sigma|_M = \mathrm{id}_M$. The structure of a **local Lie groupoid** on (G, M, σ) consists of maps

$$\text{target} \quad \tau : G_\tau \to M, \quad \text{inversion} \quad \iota : G_\iota \to G, \quad \text{multiplication} \quad \mu : G_\mu \to G,$$

where $G_\tau, G_\iota \subset G$ are open neighborhoods of M, τ satisfies $\tau|_M = \mathrm{id}_M$, $G_\mu \subset G \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_\tau$ is an open neighborhood of $M \cong \{(x,x) : x \in M\}$, such that the axioms of a Lie groupoid are satisfied locally around M. To make this precise, note that each groupoid axiom $\mathcal{A}$ can be written as the equality of two maps defined on $G^{(k)} = \{(g_1, \ldots, g_k) : \sigma(g_i) = \tau(g_{i+1})\}$; for example:

$$\tau \circ \iota = \sigma : G \to M, \qquad \tau \circ \mu = \tau \circ \mathrm{pr}_1 : G^{(2)} \to M,$$

$$\mu \circ (\mu \times \mathrm{id}_G) = \mu \circ (\mathrm{id}_G \times \mu) : G^{(3)} \to G.$$

We are assuming that for each axiom $\mathcal{A}$ there is an open set $G_{\mathcal{A}} \subset G^{(k)}$ containing $M \cong M^{(k)} = \{(x, \ldots, x) : x \in M\}$ on which the axiom is holds.

Two local groupoid structures on (G, M, σ) are called **equivalent** if the germs around M of the respective structure maps are the same. Such an equivalence class of local groupoids will be called a **germ** of a local Lie groupoid.

The more standard definition of a local Lie groupoid [8, 17] assumes that the target map and the inversion map are globally defined, and also that some of the axioms hold globally. However, the germ of any local groupoid (in our sense) can be represented by a local groupoid in the classical sense (of *loc.cit.*):

Proposition 2.1 *For a local Lie groupoid structure on (G, M, σ) there is an open neighborhood U of M in G satisfying*

$$U \subset G_\tau \cap G_\iota, \quad \iota(U) = U, \quad U \times_{\sigma,\tau} U \subset G_\mu,$$

and such that for $g, h \in U$ the following axioms hold:

$$\iota^2(g) = g, \quad \tau(g) = \sigma(\iota(g)), \quad \mu(\tau(g), g) = g = \mu(g, \sigma(g)),$$

$$\mu(g, \iota(g)) = \tau(g), \quad \mu(\iota(g), g) = \sigma(g), \quad \sigma(\mu(g, h)) = \sigma(h),$$

and, letting $U_\mu := \{(g, h) \in U \times_{\sigma,\tau} U \ : \ \mu(g, h) \in U\}$, for $(g, h) \in U_\mu$ the following hold:

$$(\iota(h), \iota(g)) \in U_\mu, \quad \iota(\mu(g, h)) = \mu(\iota(h), \iota(g)), \quad \tau(\mu(g, h)) = \tau(g),$$

and if the elements (g, h), $(\mu(g, h), k)$ and (h, k) belong to U_μ, then also $(g, \mu(h, k))$ belongs to U_μ and we have that:

$$\mu(g, \mu(h, k)) = \mu(\mu(g, h), k).$$

Moreover, the collection of such open sets U forms a basis of neighborhoods of M in G.

PROOF: The proof is straightforward: start with any open neighborhood of M in G and shrink it step by step such that it satisfies all the properties. For example, to ensure that $\iota(U) = U$, and $\iota^2(g) = g$, take U of the form $U = V \cap \iota(V)$, where V is small enough such that $V, \iota(V) \subset G_\iota$ and $\iota^2|_V = \mathrm{id}_V$. An important point is to notice that open sets of the form $U^{(k)} = G^{(k)} \cap U^k$, where $U \subset G_\tau \cap G_\iota$ is an open neighborhood M, form a basis of neighborhoods of M in $G^{(k)}$; this follows because $G^{(k)}$ has the subset topology of the product topology on $(G_\tau \cap G_\iota)^k$. □

To any local Lie groupoid on (G, M, σ) one can associate its Lie algebroid $A \to M$, which is constructed exactly as in the case of ordinary Lie groupoids. As a vector bundle $A = \ker(d\sigma)|_M$, the anchor of A is $\rho = d\tau|_A : A \to TM$, and the Lie bracket is obtained by identifying sections of A with right invariant vector fields on G.

Convention 2.2 *In order to keep notation as simple as possible, we will denote maps which are only defined in a neighborhood of M with a dashed arrow $\dashrightarrow$. In particular, the structure maps of a local groupoid on (G, M, σ) are written as $\tau : G \dashrightarrow M$, $\iota : G \dashrightarrow G$ and $\mu : G \times_{\sigma,\tau} G \dashrightarrow G$.*

2.2 The Maurer-Cartan form

We recall the definition of the Maurer-Cartan form θ_G of a local Lie groupoid G. Denote the vertical bundle of σ by $T^\sigma G := \ker(d\sigma)$. Given a smooth path $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to G$, $t \mapsto g_t$, such that $\sigma(g_t) = x$ for all t, its derivative at $t = 0$ gives an element in $T^\sigma G$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_t \big|_{t=0} \in T^\sigma_{g_0} G,$$

and clearly, all elements in $T^\sigma G$ can be represented in this way. The **Maurer Cartan form** of G, denoted by θ_G, is the following vector bundle map covering the target map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\sigma G & \xrightarrow{\theta_G} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & M \end{array} , \quad \theta_G\Big(\tfrac{d}{dt} g_t\big|_{t=0}\Big) := \tfrac{d}{dt} g_t g_0^{-1}\big|_{t=0} \in A_{\tau(g_0)}.$$

Notice that the domain of θ_G is of the form $T^\sigma U$, where $U \subset G$ is a neighborhood of M.

The Maurer-Cartan form θ_G is a Lie algebroid map between the vertical distribution $T^\sigma G$ and A (this condition can be written in the form of a Maurer-Cartan equation [19]). This Lie algebroid morphism integrates to a local groupoid map, called the **division map**, given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_{\sigma,\sigma} G & \xrightarrow{\delta} & G \\ \downarrow\downarrow & & \downarrow\downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tau} & M \end{array} , \quad \delta(g,h) = gh^{-1}.$$

2.3 Tubular structures

In this subsection we discuss special tubular neighborhoods on local Lie groupoids.

Let G be a local groupoid. Along the unit section $M \subset G$ the fibers of the source map give a natural decomposition of the tangent space $TG|_M = TM \oplus A$; in particular, the normal bundle of M in G is canonically identified with A.

A **tubular structure** on the local groupoid G is a tubular neighborhood of M in G along the source fibers, i.e. an open embedding of bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_M} & M \end{array} ,$$

where $q : A \to M$ denotes the projection, such that: $\varphi(0_x) = x$, for $x \in M$, and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi(ta)\big|_{t=0} = (0, a) \in T_xM \oplus A_x = T_xG,$$

for all $a \in A$, where $x = q(a)$.

Note that φ is defined on a neighborhood of M in A. A tubular structure induces a scalar multiplication on G along the source fibers

$$(t, g) \mapsto tg := \varphi(t\varphi^{-1}(g)),$$

which is defined on a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times M$ in $\mathbb{R} \times G$; moreover, the germ of φ around M can be recovered from this operation. Clearly, any local Lie groupoid admits a tubular structure.

2.4 Local integration of Lie algebroid morphisms

A **local Lie groupoid map** between local Lie groupoids G_1 and G_2 is a smooth map

$$F : G_1 \dashrightarrow G_2$$

defined on a neighborhood of $M_1 \subset G_1$ that restricts to a map between the units

$$F_B := F|_{M_1} : M_1 \to M_2,$$

and that is multiplicative around M_1, i.e. $F(gh) = F(g)F(h)$ for all (g, h) in an open neighborhood of M_1 in $G_1 \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_1$. The local groupoid map F induces a Lie algebroid morphism, denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{F_A} & A_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{F_B} & M_2 \end{array},$$

where $A_1 \to M_1$ and $A_2 \to M_2$ are the respective Lie algebroids and $F_A = dF|_{A_1}$. In this case, we say that F **integrates** the Lie algebroid morphism F_A.

Germs of local Lie groupoids and maps form a category. The Lie functor, taking the germ of G to the Lie algebroid A of G, and a local Lie groupoid map F to the induced Lie algebroid map F_A, is an equivalence to the category of Lie algebroids. This fact seems to be well-known; however, since a complete reference is missing from the literature (see the bibliographical comments in the introduction) we include a detailed proof of this result. First, we show that the functor is full and faithful, i.e. Lie algebroid morphisms can be integrated to local Lie groupoid maps. Moreover, using a tubular structure on the domain, the integration can be made quite explicit. That the functor is essentially surjective will be shown in the next section, where we present a construction of a local integration of a Lie algebroid.

Theorem 2.3 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two local Lie groupoids with Lie algebroids A_1 and A_2, respectively. Let $f : A_1 \to A_2$ be a Lie algebroid morphism with base map $f_B : M_1 \to M_2$. Fix a tubular structure on G_1, and consider the map F given by*

$$F : G_1 \dashrightarrow G_2, \quad F(g) := k_{t=1},$$

where $t \mapsto k_t \in \sigma_2^{-1}(y) \subset G_2$ is the unique solution of the ODE:

$$\theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\Big) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\Big), \quad k_{t=0} = y := f_B(\sigma_1(g)) \tag{2.2}$$

with g taken close enough to $M_1 \subset G_1$ such that the solution is defined for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then F is a local Lie groupoid map which integrates f, i.e. $F_A = f$. Moreover, the germ around the unit section of an integration of f is unique.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3, we recover the well-known fact that the germ of an integration is essentially unique:

Corollary 2.4 *Any two local Lie groupoids integrating a given Lie algebroid have isomorphic germs.*

Let us now move on to the proof of the above theorem. An essential point is the following extract of [11, Proposition 1.3] which describes variations of Lie algebroid paths:

Lemma 2.5 *([11]) Let $q : A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid. Consider a smooth map*

$$a : [0, 1] \times J \to A, \quad (t, \epsilon) \mapsto a_\epsilon(t),$$

where J is an interval, and denote the base map by $\gamma_\epsilon(t) := q \circ a_\epsilon(t)$. Assume that $\gamma_\epsilon(0) = x$ for all $\epsilon \in J$, where $x \in M$ is fixed, and that a_ϵ is an A-path for all $\epsilon \in J$, i.e. $\rho \circ a_\epsilon(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\gamma_\epsilon(t)$, where $\rho : A \to TM$ denotes the anchor. Then there exists a unique smooth map

$$b : [0, 1] \times J \to A, \quad (t, \epsilon) \mapsto b_\epsilon(t)$$

such that $b_\epsilon(t) \in A_{\gamma_\epsilon(t)}$, $b_\epsilon(0) = 0$, *and such that the following is a Lie algebroid morphism:*

$$f_{a,b} : T([0,1] \times J) \to A, \quad f_{a,b} = a_\epsilon(t)dt + b_\epsilon(t)d\epsilon.$$

Moreover, b *can be explicitly constructed as follows:*

(1) Let $\alpha_\epsilon(t)$ *be a family of compactly supported sections of* A *depending smoothly on* $(t, \epsilon) \in [0,1] \times J$ *such that* $\alpha_\epsilon(t, \gamma_\epsilon(t)) = a_\epsilon(t)$. *Denote the flow of the time dependent section* α_ϵ *by* $\phi^{\alpha_\epsilon}_{t,s}(x) : A_x \to A_y$, $y := \phi^{\rho(\alpha_\epsilon)}_{t,s}(x)$. *Then* b *is given by the integral formula:*

$$b_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t \phi^{\alpha_\epsilon}_{t,s}(\gamma_\epsilon(s)) \frac{d\alpha_\epsilon}{d\epsilon}(s, \gamma_\epsilon(s))ds. \tag{2.3}$$

(2) If A *is integrable by a Lie groupoid* G, *and* $g : [0,1] \times J \to G$ *is the solution to the ODE*

$$\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt} g_\epsilon(t)\Big) = a_\epsilon(t), \quad g_\epsilon(0) = 1_x,$$

where θ_G *denotes the Maurer-Cartan form of* G, *then* b *is given by*

$$b_\epsilon(t) = \theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t)\Big).$$

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

PROOF: [of Theorem 2.3] *Step 1.* In this step, we show that the germ of F around the unit section is unique. Assume $F : G_1 \dashrightarrow G_2$ is a local groupoid map integrating f. Consider an open neighborhood U of M_1 in G_1 such that for all $g \in U$ and all $s, t \in [0,1]$, we have that the following equality holds and, of course, all terms involved in the equality are defined:

$$F\left((sg)(tg)^{-1}\right) = F(sg)F(tg)^{-1}.$$

Using that $dF|_{A_1} = F_A = f$, applying $\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=t}$ to this equality, we obtain:

$$f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\Big) = \theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt} F(tg)\Big).$$

This shows that the curve $t \mapsto F(tg)$ is the solution $t \mapsto k_t$ of the ODE (2.2). The fact that $\theta_{G_2} : T^\sigma_h G_2 \to A_{2,\tau(h)}$ is a linear isomorphism implies uniqueness of the solution of the ODE (2.2), and therefore, uniqueness of F; namely $F(g) = k_1$, where k_t is the (unique) solution of the ODE (2.2).

Coming back to the definition of F from a given f, for $g = x \in M_1$ the solution to the ODE is given by the constant path $k_t = x$, which is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, there is a neighborhood U of M_1 in G, such that for all $g \in U$ the ODE has a solution defined up to time $t = 1$. On U we define $F(g) := k_1$.

Step 2. We show that, on an open neighborhood of M_1, the map F satisfies $F^*(\theta_{G_2}) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}$; in other words we have the following commutative diagram of Lie algebroid maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^\sigma G_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta_{G_1}} & A_1 \\ {\scriptstyle dF}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ T^\sigma G_2 & \xrightarrow{\theta_{G_2}} & A_2 \end{array}.$$

Let $g, v \in G_1$ such that $\sigma_1(g) = \sigma_1(v) = x$. For g in a small enough neighborhood of M_1 there is an open interval J around 0 such that the following map is defined:

$$g : [0,1] \times J \to G_1, \quad (t, \epsilon) \mapsto g_\epsilon(t) = t(g + \epsilon v).$$

Note that, $dg_\epsilon(t) = \frac{dg_\epsilon(t)}{dt}dt + \frac{dg_\epsilon(t)}{d\epsilon}d\epsilon$ is a Lie algebroid morphisms from $T([0,1] \times J)$ to $T^\sigma G_1$. Composing it with the Lie algebroid morphisms $\theta_{G_1} : T^\sigma G_1 \dashrightarrow A_1$ and then with $f : A_1 \to A_2$, we obtain that the following is also a Lie algebroid morphism:

$$f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}g_\epsilon(t)\Big)dt + f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t)\Big)d\epsilon : T([0,1] \times J) \to A_2.$$

By the definition of F, the first component of this map is given by

$$f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}g_\epsilon(t)\Big) = \theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}F(g_\epsilon(t))\Big).$$

Since $g_\epsilon(0) = x$, the second component of this map vanishes for $t = 0$; and therefore, by part (2) of Lemma 2.5, it is given by:

$$f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t)\Big) = \theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}F(g_\epsilon(t))\Big).$$

In particular, for $t = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0$, we obtain:

$$f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}(g + \epsilon v)|_{\epsilon=0}\Big) = \theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}F(g + \epsilon v)|_{\epsilon=0}\Big).$$

Since v was arbitrary in the source fiber of g, this is precisely our claim $f \circ \theta_{G_1} = F^*(\theta_{G_2})$ at g.
Step 3. We show that F is multiplicative. Let $g, h \in G_1$ be two composable arrows that are close enough to the unit section. We show that the curves $t \mapsto F(tg)F(h)$ and $t \mapsto F((tg)h)$, which start at $F(h)$, both satisfy the ODE:

$$\theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\Big) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\Big);$$

hence the two curves must coincide for $t = 1$, i.e. $F(gh) = F(g)F(h)$. For this we use right-invariance of both Maurer-Cartan forms and the relation $f \circ \theta_{G_1} = F^*(\theta_{G_2})$:

$$\theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}F((tg)h)\Big) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)h\Big) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\Big);$$

$$\theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}F(tg)F(h)\Big) = \theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}F(tg)\Big) = f \circ \theta_{G_1}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\Big).$$

□

Remark 2.6 *(An inverse for the van Est map on a tubular groupoid.)* A tubular structure can be also used to integrate Lie algebroid cochains to local Lie groupoid cochains, as follows. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid endowed with a tubular structure with scalar multiplication $t \mapsto tg$. Let A denote its Lie algebroid. Given a p-tuple $(g_1, .., g_p) \in G^{(p)}$ of small composable arrows in G we recursively define maps $\gamma_{g_1,..,g_p} : I^p \to \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(g_p)) \subset G$, with $I = [0,1]$, by

$$\gamma_{g_1}(t) = tg_1, \ \gamma_{g_1,..,g_p}(t_1, .., t_p) = t_p\mu(\gamma_{g_1,..,g_{p-1}}(t_1, .., t_{p-1}), g_p).$$

Consider the map $\Psi : \Gamma(\wedge^p A^*) \to C^\infty(U^{(p)} \subset G^{(p)})$ defined by

$$\Psi(\alpha)(g_1, \dots, g_p) = \int_{I^p} \alpha\left(\theta_G(\partial_{t_1}\gamma_{g_1,..,g_p}), \dots, \theta_G(\partial_{t_p}\gamma_{g_1,..,g_p})\right)\ dt_1 \dots dt_p.$$

One can then verify that $\Psi(d\alpha) = -\delta\Psi(\alpha)$, where d denotes the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential associated to A and δ the differentiable cohomology differential for G. Moreover, $VE(\Psi(\alpha)) = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^p A^*)$, where $VE : C^\infty(G^{(p)}) \to \Gamma(\wedge^p A^*)$ denotes the van Est map which differentiates of cochains (see [9] for details). Since it is known that VE induces an isomorphism in cohomology for a tubular groupoid (see [27]), we obtain that the above concrete Ψ induces the inverse of VE in cohomology.

3 The local Lie groupoid associated to a spray

In this section we construct an explicit local Lie groupoid integrating a given Lie algebroid with the aid of a spray.

3.1 Lie algebroid sprays

We first recall the following notion:

Definition 3.1 *Let $(A, [\cdot,\cdot], \rho)$ be a Lie algebroid. Let $q : A \to M$ denote the bundle projection, and let $m_t : A \to A$ denote scalar multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A **Lie algebroid spray** for A is a vector field V on the manifold A which satisfies:*

1. *V is homogeneous of degree one: $m_t^*(V) = tV$ for all $t \neq 0$;*
2. *V lifts the anchor of A in the sense that: $dq(V_a) = \rho(a) \in TM$, for all $a \in A$.*

The local flow of the spray will be denoted by ϕ_V^t. The second condition defining V implies that $a(t) = \phi_V^t(a) \in A$ satisfies the condition $\frac{d}{dt}q(a(t)) = \rho(a(t))$ of an *A-path* (in the sense of [11]) for any initial condition $a \in A$.

Remark 3.2 1. The first condition implies that V vanishes along the zero section of A. Hence, its flow is defined up to time $t = 1$ on an open neighborhood of the zero section. We thus obtain the map $\hat{\exp}_V : A \dashrightarrow P(A)$, $a \mapsto (t \mapsto \phi_V^t(a))$ discussed in the part of the introduction about spray groupoids vs the space of A-paths.

2. It can be seen that a Lie algebroid spray is equivalent to a torsion-free A-connection on A (for these notions, see [18]). Let x^i denote local coordinates on M and u^a fiberwise linear coordinates on A. Then any spray has the local expression

$$V = \rho_a^i(x)u^a\partial_{x^i} + \Gamma_{ab}^c(x)u^a u^b \partial_{u^c},$$

   where ρ_a^i denote the local coefficients of the anchor map $\rho : A \to TM$ and Γ_{ab}^c define the Christoffel symbols for the A-connection. The torsion vanishes since only the symmetric part of these symbols contributes non-trivially to V.

3. A spray can be easily constructed: for example, define $V_a \in T_aA$ to be the horizontal lift of $\rho(a) \in T_{q(a)}M$ with respect to a fixed linear (TM-)connection ∇ on A.

4. That V is homogeneous of degree one is equivalent to the following property of its local flow:

$$\phi_V^t(sa) = s\phi_V^{st}(a). \tag{3.4}$$

5. The flow of a spray fixes points of the zero section $M \subset A$, namely $\phi_V^t(0_x) = 0_x$. Using the natural decomposition $TA|_M = TM \oplus A$ into vectors tangent to zero plus vertical vectors, the differential of ϕ_V^t on such points can be easily computed to yield

$$d\phi_V^t : TA|_M = TM \oplus A \to TM \oplus A, \quad (u, a) \mapsto (u + t\rho(a), a). \tag{3.5}$$

6. Consider the pullback Lie algebroid of A via the submersion $q : A \to M$ (see e.g. [23])

$$q^!A := TA \times_{TM} A = \{(u, a) \in TA \times A \ : \ dq(u) = \rho(a)\}.$$

The Lie algebroid $q^!A$ is an extension of A by the vertical foliation $T^qA := \ker(dq)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^qA & \hookrightarrow & q^!A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & A & \xrightarrow{q} & M \end{array} .$$

A spray V on A induces a section of $q^!A$,

$$\widehat{V} \in \Gamma(q^!A), \quad \widehat{V}_a := (V_a, a), \quad a \in A,$$

which satisfies $\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \widehat{V} = \mathrm{id}_A$. The Euler vector field can be regarded as a section of $q^!A$:

$$E \in \Gamma(T^qA) \subset \Gamma(q^!A), \quad E_a := \frac{d}{dt}(e^t a)\big|_{t=0}, \quad a \in A.$$

The first property of V is expressed as a simple equation in the Lie algebra $\Gamma(q^!A)$:

$$[E, \widehat{V}] = \widehat{V}. \tag{3.6}$$

Example 3.3 Let $A = \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra (i.e. a Lie algebroid over a point $M = pt$). Sprays are simply 1-homogeneous vector fields on $\mathfrak{g}$, since the second condition in the definition of V is empty. Denoting u^a linear coordinates on $\mathfrak{g}$, a spray V must be of the form $V = \Gamma^c_{ab} u^a u^b \partial_{u^c}$ with $\Gamma^c_{ab} \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, $V = 0$ defines a spray for $\mathfrak{g}$ whose flow is the identity $\phi_V^t = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example 3.4 An ordinary geodesic spray $V \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ associated to a Riemannian metric on M defines a Lie algebroid spray for the tangent Lie algebroid $A = TM$.

3.2 The construction of the spray groupoid

For the rest of this section we fix a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho)$ on $q : A \to M$ and a Lie algebroid spray V on A. Using V we shall construct a local Lie groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ integrating A. The total space is $G_V = A$, the space of units is M identified with the zero section of A, and the source map is the bundle projection $\sigma = q : A \to M$. The target map and the inversion map are defined on the open neighborhood of M on which the flow of V is defined up to $t = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau : G_V \dashrightarrow M, \quad \tau(a) := q(\phi_V^1(a)), \\ &\iota : G_V \dashrightarrow G_V, \quad \iota(a) := -\phi_V^1(a) = \phi_V^{-1}(-a) \ \ \text{(see (3.4))}. \end{aligned}$$

The groupoid axioms involving only these maps are easily verified: σ and τ are surjective submersions, and for $x \in M$ and $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau(x) = q(\phi_V^1(0_x)) = q(0_x) = x,\\
&\iota(x) = -\phi_V^1(0_x) = x,\\
&\sigma \circ \iota(a) = q(-\phi_V^1(a)) = q(\phi_V^1(a)) = \tau(a),\\
&\iota^2(a) = -\phi_V^1(-\phi_V^1(a)) = \phi_V^{-1} \circ \phi_V^1(a) = a,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that ϕ_V^t fixes M and equation (3.4).

The multiplication on G_V will be constructed using the Maurer-Cartan form associated to V obtained in [36], which we call here the *realization form*. We give here an independent account of the construction in [36], as well as a different proof, relying on the Lie algebroid $q^!A \to A$.

Let $\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t$ denote the flow of the Lie algebroid section $\widehat{V} \in \Gamma(q^!A)$ on $q^!A$. Since $\widehat{V}$ is sent by the anchor $\mathrm{pr}_1 : q^!A \to TA$ to V, the flow $\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t$ is a Lie algebroid automorphism covering ϕ_V^t. The **realization form associated to** V is defined as the composition of the Lie algebroid maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T^qA & \hookrightarrow & q^!A & \xrightarrow{\phi^1_{\widehat{V}}} & q^!A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
A & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & A & \xrightarrow{\phi^1_V} & A & \xrightarrow{q} & M
\end{array}.$$

Thus, if U an open neighborhood U of M in A on which ϕ_V^t is defined for $t \in [0,1]$, then the realization form is the Lie algebroid morphism covering $\tau : U \to M$:

$$\theta : T^qA|_U \to A, \qquad \theta_a(v) := \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \phi_{\widehat{V}}^1(v), \tag{3.7}$$

for $a \in U$ and $v \in A_{q(a)} \cong T^q_aA$.

Next, using eq. (3.6) and that the operation of pulling sections back along $\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t$ behaves like exponentiating the derivation $[\widehat{V}, \cdot]$, we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big((\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t)^*(E + t\widehat{V})\Big) = (\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t)^*([\widehat{V}, E] + \widehat{V}) = 0$$

which implies that

$$(\phi_{\widehat{V}}^t)_*(E) = E + t\widehat{V}.$$

For $t = 1$, this yields: $\phi_{\widehat{V}}^1(E_a) = (E_{\phi_V^1(a)} + V_{\phi_V^1(a)}, \phi_V^1(a)) \in (q^!A)_{\phi_V^1(a)}$, for all $a \in U$. Projecting this onto the second component gives:

$$\theta_a(a) = \phi_V^1(a), \quad \text{for all } a \in U, \tag{3.8}$$

where we used the identification $T^q_aA = A_{q(a)}$, under which $E_a = a$. Replacing $a \leftarrow ta$ in the above equation and using (3.4), we obtain:

$$\theta_{ta}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(ta)\Big) = \theta_{ta}(a) = \phi_V^t(a). \tag{3.9}$$

Taking the limit $t \to 0$, we obtain that $\theta_{q(a)}(a) = a$, i.e. θ is the identity along M. Thus, by shrinking U we may assume that θ is a fiberwise linear isomorphism.

Compared to [36], our approach of introducing θ using $q^!A$ has the advantage that it makes obvious that θ is a Lie algebroid map, being a composition of such. To verify that we indeed obtain the same object, one can recall from [36] that the equation (3.8) characterizes the Lie algebroid map θ uniquely around M.

Another property of θ, which implies the description from [36], is the following:

Lemma 3.5 *Let $a \in U$, $v \in A_{q(a)}$. Consider a small enough interval J around 0 such that $t(a+\epsilon v) \in U$, for all $(t,\epsilon) \in [0,1] \times J$. The following map is a Lie algebroid morphism:*

$$\phi_V^t(a+\epsilon v)\ dt + \theta_{t(a+\epsilon v)}(tv)\ d\epsilon : T([0,1] \times J) \to A.$$

PROOF: Differentiating the map $\gamma : [0,1] \times J \to A_{q(a)}$, $\gamma(t,\epsilon) := t(a+\epsilon v)$ we obtain a Lie algebroid morphism covering γ

$$d\gamma = \partial_t\gamma\ dt + \partial_\epsilon\gamma\ d\epsilon : T([0,1] \times J) \to T^qA.$$

Composing this with θ, we obtain a Lie algebroid morphism into A:

$$\theta \circ d\gamma = \theta_\gamma(\partial_t\gamma)\ dt + \theta_\gamma(\partial_\epsilon\gamma)\ d\epsilon : T([0,1] \times J) \to A$$

covering $\tau \circ \gamma$. The conclusion follows, because $\theta_\gamma(\partial_t\gamma) = \phi_V^t(a+\epsilon v)$ (3.9) and $\partial_\epsilon\gamma = tv$. □

We are in the setting of Lemma 2.5; hence the formula (2.3) yields the formula for θ from [36].

The main theorem of this subsection is that G_V carries a natural multiplication that makes it into a local Lie groupoid integrating A and has MC-form θ:

Theorem 3.6 *There is a neighborhood of M in $A \times_{\sigma,\tau} A$, such that for all (a,b) in this neighborhood the ODE*

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\Big) = \phi_V^t(a), \quad k_0 = b, \tag{3.10}$$

has its unique solution $t \mapsto k_t = k(t,a,b) \in A_{\sigma(b)}$ defined for all $t \in [0,1]$.

The maps $\sigma = q$, $\tau = q \circ \phi_V^1$, $\iota = -\phi_V^1$ together with the resulting multiplication map

$$\mu : G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V \dashrightarrow G_V, \quad \mu(a,b) := k(1,a,b),$$

define the structure maps of a local Lie groupoid on $(G_V, M, \sigma) = (A, M, q)$. The Maurer-Cartan form of this local groupoid coincides with the realization form θ associated to V; in particular, G_V is a local Lie groupoid integrating A, called the ***spray groupoid*** *associated to A and V.*

First, we detail how μ is constructed and then we prove Theorem 3.6. Consider $(a,b) \in G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V$, i.e. $\sigma(a) = q(a) = q(\phi_V^1(b)) = \tau(b)$. Let $t \mapsto k_t = k(t,a,b) \in A_{\sigma(b)}$ be the solution to the ODE (3.10). Since, for k close to M, the map $\theta : T_k^\sigma G_V \to A_{\tau(k)}$ is a linear isomorphism, the ODE has a unique solution defined for t in a neighborhood of 0. For $a = x \in M$, we have that $k_t = b$ is a solution for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $b = x \in M$, $k_t = ta$ is a solution for all t such that θ_{ta} is defined; this follows from eq. (3.9). This implies the existence of an open neighborhood U_μ of M in $G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V$, such that for all $(a,b) \in U_\mu$, the ODE has a solution $k(t,a,b)$ defined for all $t \in [0,1]$. As we saw before, $k(t,a,\sigma(a)) = ta$ and $k(t,\tau(b),b) = b$, thus, the unit axioms hold:

$$\mu(a,\sigma(a)) = a, \qquad \mu(\tau(b),b) = b.$$

Since $k_t \in A_{\sigma(b)}$ and $\tau(k_t) = q(\phi_V^t(a))$, at $t = 1$, we obtain the axioms:

$$\sigma(\mu(a,b)) = \sigma(b), \quad \tau(\mu(a,b)) = \tau(a).$$

Next, we describe the path $k(t,a,b)$ in terms of μ:

Lemma 3.7 *For $(a,b) \in U_\mu \subset G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V$ and $t \in [0,1]$, we have that $k(t,a,b) = \mu(ta,b)$.*

PROOF: Fix $s \in [0,1]$. Using (3.4), we calculate

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k(st,a,b)\Big) = s\theta\Big(\frac{d}{du}k(u,a,b)\big|_{u=st}\Big) = s\phi_V^{st}(a) = \phi_V^t(sa).$$

Thus, the curves $t \mapsto k(st,a,b)$ and $t \mapsto k(t,sa,b)$ satisfy the same ODE and start at b. So they are equal and, for $t=1$, we obtain the conclusion $\mu(sa,b) = k(1,sa,b) = k(s,a,b)$. □

In particular, we obtain that

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\mu(ta,b)\Big) = \theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}ta\Big) = \phi_V^t(a). \tag{3.11}$$

The following result shows that θ plays the role of the Maurer-Cartan form of μ:

Lemma 3.8 *θ is right invariant for μ:*

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(a+\epsilon v,b)\big|_{\epsilon=0}\Big) = \theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}(a+\epsilon v)\big|_{\epsilon=0}\Big) = \theta_a(v),$$

for all $(a,b) \in U_\mu$ and all $v \in A_{\sigma(a)}$.

PROOF: Let J be a small interval around 0 such that for all $(t,\epsilon) \in [0,1] \times J$ we have that $\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b) \in A_{\sigma(b)}$ is defined. Then the map

$$d(\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b)) = \frac{d}{dt}\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b)dt + \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b)d\epsilon : T([0,1]\times J) \to T^\sigma G_V$$

is a Lie algebroid morphism. Composing this with the Lie algebroid map $\theta : T^\sigma G_V \dashrightarrow A$, and applying (3.11), we obtain that the following is a Lie algebroid morphism:

$$\phi_V^t(a+\epsilon v)dt + \theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b)\Big)d\epsilon : T([0,1]\times J) \to A.$$

The coefficient of $d\epsilon$ vanishes for $t=0$, so, by the uniqueness property in Lemma 2.5 and by Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(t(a+\epsilon v),b)\Big) = t\theta_{t(a+\epsilon v)}(v),$$

which, for $\epsilon = 0$ and $t = 1$, gives the conclusion. □

In the lemma above we have used paths of the particular form $\epsilon \mapsto (a+\epsilon v)$, but clearly, the result is about the differential of right multiplication $a \mapsto \mu(a,b)$, which is defined on an open set around $\tau(b)$ in $A_{\tau(b)}$. Therefore, we can reformulate the lemma as follows: for any smooth curve $\epsilon \mapsto a_\epsilon$, such that $(a_\epsilon, b) \in U_\mu$, we have that:

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu(a_\epsilon,b)\Big) = \theta\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}a_\epsilon\Big).$$

This immediately implies that:

Lemma 3.9 *The map μ is associative on a neighborhood of M in $G_V^{(3)}$.*

PROOF: Consider $(a,b,c) \in G_V^{(3)}$, close enough to M such that $\mu(\mu(ta,b),c)$ is defined for all $t \in [0,1]$. By right invariance of θ, and by (3.11), we have that

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\mu(\mu(ta,b),c)\Big) = \theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\mu(ta,b)\Big) = \phi_V^t(a).$$

Hence the two curves $t \mapsto \mu(\mu(ta,b),c)$ and $t \mapsto \mu(ta,\mu(b,c))$ satisfy the same ODE and start at $\mu(b,c)$; thus they are equal. For $t=1$, we obtain associativity: $\mu(\mu(a,b),c) = \mu(a,\mu(b,c))$. □

Finally, we check the law of inverses.

Lemma 3.10 *For $a \in G_V$ close enough to M we have that $(a,\iota(a)) \in U_\mu$ and $(\iota(a),a) \in U_\mu$ and $\mu(a,\iota(a)) = \tau(a)$ and $\mu(\iota(a),a) = \sigma(a)$.*

PROOF: Since $\iota|_M = \mathrm{id}_M$, it is clear that $(a,\iota(a)),(\iota(a),a) \in U_\mu$ for all a close enough to M. We prove that $\mu(t\iota(a),a) = (1-t)a$. At $t=0$ this holds by the unit axioms and, in general, it follows because the two curves satisfy the same ODE:

$$\theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\mu(t\iota(a),a)\Big) = \phi_V^t(\iota(a)) = \phi_V^t(\phi_V^{-1}(-a)) = \phi_V^{t-1}(-a),\ \ \theta\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(1-t)a\Big) = -\phi_V^{1-t}(a) = \phi_V^{t-1}(-a)$$

where we used (3.4) and (3.11). At $t=1$, $\mu(\iota(a),a) = \sigma(a)$. The other equality follows since $\iota^2(a) = a$. □

We conclude that μ endows G_V with the structure of a local groupoid. By Lemma 3.8, and by the fact that $\theta_x = \mathrm{id}_{A_x}$ for all $x \in M$, we have that θ is the Maurer-Cartan form of G_V. Thus, we have proven Theorem 3.6.

Remark 3.11 Notice that the spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ just defined carries the natural tubular structure φ (in the sense of section 2.3) given by the identity map of $G_V = A$.

Example 3.12 Let $A = \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and let us choose the zero spray $V = 0$ as in Example 3.3. The corresponding Maurer-Cartan form $\theta \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g};\mathfrak{g})$ is (see [36, Theorem 1.2])

$$\theta_a(v) = \int_0^1 e^{s\ \mathrm{ad}\,a}(v)\ ds = \frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}\,a} - I}{\mathrm{ad}\,a}(v).$$

The equation (3.10) for $k_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ defining μ on the spray group $G_V = \mathfrak{g}$ becomes

$$\frac{dk_t}{dt} = \frac{\mathrm{ad}\,k_t}{e^{\mathrm{ad}\,k_t} - I}(a), \quad k_0 = b.$$

It is a standard computation to deduce the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff series (or Dynkin's formula)

$$\mu(a,b) = k_1 = a + b + \frac{1}{2}[a,b] + ...$$

from the above differential equation (see [16, Section 1.7]).

3.3 Local integration of algebroid morphisms to the spray groupoid

Remark 3.11, Theorem 2.3 and equation (3.11) imply the following:

Corollary 3.13 *Let $f : A_1 \to A_2$ be a Lie algebroid morphism covering $f_B : M_1 \to M_2$. Let $G_V \rightrightarrows M_1$ be the spray groupoid corresponding to a spray V for A_1, and let $G_2 \rightrightarrows M_2$ be any local Lie groupoid integrating A_2. The local Lie groupoid map*

$$F : G_V \dashrightarrow G_2$$

integrating f from Theorem 2.3 is given by $F(a) = k(1, a)$, where, for $a \in G_V$ close enough to M_1, $t \mapsto k_t = k(t, a)$ is the solution of the ODE

$$\theta_{G_2}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\Big) = f(\phi_V^t(a)), \quad k_t \in \sigma_2^{-1}(y), \quad k_0 = y := f_B(\sigma_1(a)) \tag{3.12}$$

Example 3.14 Let $f : A_1 \to A_2$ be a Lie algebroid morphism, and assume that there are sprays V_1 and V_2, on A_1 and A_2 respectively, such that they are f-related. In this case, it follows from eq. (3.9) that $k(t, a) = tf(a)$ is a solution to equation eq. (3.12); therefore $F := f : G_{V_1} \dashrightarrow G_{V_2}$ is the local groupoid map integrating f.

Example 3.15 *(Integrating 1-cocycles)* Let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lie algebroid morphism, where $\mathbb{R}$ is a trivial Lie algebra, viewed as a Lie algebroid over a point. Note that f represents a 1-cocycle for A. In this case, equation (3.12) reduces to

$$\frac{d}{dt}k_t = f(\phi_V^t(a)), \ k_0 = 0.$$

Then, the local groupoid map $F : G_V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($\mathbb{R}$ seen as a 1-dimensional Lie group) is given by

$$F(a) = \int_0^1 f(\phi_V^t(a))dt,$$

which defines a local 1-cocycle on G_V integrating f.

For $f = id$, the induced map F is called the *exponential map* corresponding to the spray:

Definition 3.16 *Let A be a Lie algebroid with a spray V. Assume that G is a local Lie groupoid integrating A with Maurer Cartan θ_G. For $a \in A_x$, close enough to M, the ODE*

$$\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}k_t\Big) = \phi_V^t(a), \quad k_0 = x,$$

*has a solution $t \mapsto k_t = k(t, a) \in \sigma^{-1}(x)$, for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The **spray exponential** is the map*

$$\exp_V : A \dashrightarrow G, \quad \exp_V(a) := k(1, a).$$

Note that $\exp_V$ defines a diffeomorphism near M (its differential along M is the identity). The results of this section imply the following characterization of the spray groupoid structure:

Corollary 3.17 *Let A be a Lie algebroid with a spray V. The germ of the spray groupoid structure on (A, M, q) is uniquely characterized by the property that, for any local groupoid G integrating A, the associated spray exponential $\exp_V : G_V \dashrightarrow G$ defines a local Lie groupoid map (in this case, the germ of $\exp_V$ defines an isomorphism between the germs of G_V and G).*

Remark 3.18 1. In the case that A is integrable, i.e. if the Weinstein groupoid $G(A) = P(A)/\mathcal{F}$ is smooth [11], then the exponential map associated to $G(A)$ and V factors as $\exp_V = \pi \circ \hat{\exp}_V$, where $\hat{\exp}_V : A \dashrightarrow P(A)$ was introduced in the part of the introduction about Sprays groupoids vs the space of A-paths, and $\pi : P(A) \to G(A)$ is the quotient map.

2. *(Change of sprays)* Given two sprays V_1 and V_2 for a Lie algebroid A, the exponential with respect to one of them defines an isomorphism between the germs of the corresponding two spray groupoids:
$$\exp_{V_1} : G_{V_1} \xdashrightarrow{\sim} G_{V_2},$$
its inverse being the germ of $\exp_{V_2}$. As both $G_{V_i} = A,\ i = 1, 2$ as spaces, we observe that this isomorphism $\exp_{V_1}$ is vertical with respect to $q : A \to M$ and fixes the zero section.

3.4 Spray groupoids versus tubular groupoids

In this subsection, we give a (possible) answer to the following question: How can one recognize whether a local Lie groupoid comes from a construction using a spray? The result obtained here will be further used in Subsection 4.3.

In Remark 3.11 we noticed that for a local Lie groupoid to arise as a spray groupoid, it is necessary that it be endowed with a tubular structure. We thus restrict ourselves to groupoids endowed with tubular structures, so that throughout this section, we have the following data:

- a Lie algebroid $q : A \to M$,
- a local Lie groupoid structure on $(G, M, \sigma) := (A, M, q)$ integrating A,
- the Maurer-Cartan form θ_G of G satisfies $\theta_{G,x} = \mathrm{id} : A_x \to A_x$ for all $x \in M \subset A$. Equivalently,
$$\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg)\big|_{t=0}\Big) = g. \tag{3.13}$$

To begin with, we show that the tubular structure on G induces a spray for A. Inspired by relation (3.11), which holds for spray groupoids, we define for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$:
$$\lambda_t : G \dashrightarrow G, \quad \lambda_t(g) := \theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt} tg\Big). \tag{3.14}$$
The map λ is defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{R} \times G$ containing $\mathbb{R} \times M$ and $\{0\} \times G$. By (3.13), we have that $\lambda_0 = \mathrm{id}$.

Lemma 3.19 *The infinitesimal generator of λ_t at $t = 0$*
$$V \in \mathfrak{X}(G), \quad V_g := \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_t(g)\big|_{t=0}$$
is a spray for A.

Proof: Note first that, since λ is defined in a neighborhood of $\{0\} \times G$, V is indeed defined on the entire G. Next, note that $\lambda_t(g)$ is an A-path: this is equivalent to $\lambda_t(g)dt : T[0, 1] \to A$ being a Lie algebroid map, which follows since it is the composition of the Lie algebroid maps
$$\theta_G : T^q A \dashrightarrow A \quad \text{and} \quad d(tg) : T[0, 1] \to T^q A.$$

At $t = 0$ the A-path condition gives the second spray condition: $dq(V_g) = \rho(g)$.
Next, note that $\lambda_t(g)$ satisfies the analog of equation (3.4):

$$\lambda_t(sg) = \theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}stg\Big) = s\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{du}ug\big|_{u=st}\Big) = s\lambda_{st}(g).$$

This implies that $m_s^{-1} \circ \lambda_t \circ m_s = \lambda_{st}$. Taking the derivative at $t = 0$, we obtain the first spray condition $m_s^*(V) = sV$. □

Finally, we observe that for G to be a spray groupoid, λ must coincide with the flow of the spray V defined above.

Proposition 3.20 *Let V be the spray on A coming from the family λ_t defined above. The germ of the local Lie groupoid structure of G coincides with that of the spray groupoid G_V iff the isotopy λ_t coincides with the flow of V.*

Proof: We saw from (3.11) that for the spray groupoid G_V, $\lambda_t(g) = \phi_V^t(g)$. Conversely, assume that $\lambda_t(g) = \phi_V^t(g)$ for a vector field V defined around M. By the previous lemma, V is a spray for A. Since $\theta_G(\frac{d}{dt}tg) = \lambda_t(g) = \phi_V^t(g)$, we have that the path $k_t = tg$ satisfies the ODE from Definition 3.16 of the spray exponential map. Therefore, $\exp_V = \mathrm{id}_A$. But, by Corollary 3.17, $\exp_V : G_V \to G$ is a local Lie groupoid map. We conclude that $G = G_V$ around M. □

Remark 3.21 Let us summarize the previous discussion: to a tubular groupoid G we have associated an isotopy λ_t. The vector field $V = \frac{d}{dt}\lambda_t|_{t=0}$ is a spray for A; $G = G_V$ iff $\lambda_t = \phi_V^t$. In general, one should think about G_V as being the "spray groupoid approximation" of G; and note also that $\exp_V : G_V \dashrightarrow G$ is a canonical isomorphism between their germs.

4 Multiplicative forms on spray groupoids

A **multiplicative form** on a Lie groupoid is a differential form satisfying a certain cocycle-type condition. Multiplicative forms can be differentiated to the so-called **infinitesimally multiplicative** (or **IM**-) forms on the corresponding Lie algebroid. These IM-forms encode infinitesimal geometric structures; for example, for a multiplicative symplectic structure on a Lie groupoid the corresponding IM-form encodes a Poisson structure on the base. For source 1-connected groupoids, the differentiation procedure gives a 1-1 correspondence, see [2, 4, 13]. In this section we prove the local version of this result, namely, differentiation gives a 1-1 correspondence between germs of multiplicative forms on a local Lie groupoid and IM-forms on the corresponding Lie algebroid. Moreover, we provide explicit formulas for local multiplicative forms on a spray groupoid in terms of the underlying IM-forms. The strategy consists in expressing the relevant structure in terms of morphisms and use the explicit description provided by Corollary 3.13. Finally, we extend these results to multiplicative forms with coefficients in a representation, which infinitesimally correspond to the so-called **Spencer operators**. Applications of these formulas are discussed in the following section.

4.1 Multiplicative forms (trivial coefficients)

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid. By a **local k-form** on G we mean an ordinary differential form $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ defined on a neighborhood U of $M \subset G$ which we refer to as the **domain** of ω. We adopt this convention also for forms with values in a vector bundle.

A local k-form ω on G is called **multiplicative** if it satisfies the following equation

$$\mu^*\omega = p_1^*\omega + p_2^*\omega, \tag{4.15}$$

in a neighborhood of M in the domain G_μ of the multiplication μ, where $p_1, p_2 : G_\mu \subset G_\sigma \times_\tau G \to G$ are the two natural projections.

The corresponding infinitesimal notion is that of **infinitesimally multiplicative** (or **IM-**) forms on a Lie algebroid A. Recall that an IM-k-form on A [4] is a pair of vector bundle maps:

$$l : A \to \wedge^{k-1}T^*M, \quad \nu : A \to \wedge^k T^*M$$

satisfying the following equations, for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([a,b]) &= \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}\nu(b) - i_{\rho(b)}d\nu(a) \\ l([a,b]) &= \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}l(b) - i_{\rho(b)}dl(a) - i_{\rho(b)}\nu(a) \\ i_{\rho(a)}l(b) &= -i_{\rho(b)}l(a). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

The next ingredient is the **differentiation operation**, which takes a multiplicative k-form ω on G and returns an IM-k-form (l, ν) on A defined as follows:

$$l(a) := u^*(i_a\omega), \quad \nu(a) := u^*(i_a d\omega), \tag{4.17}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, where $u : M \hookrightarrow G$ denotes the unit section (see [4] for the case of Lie groupoids, which is easily extended to local Lie groupoids). In this case, we say that ω **integrates** (l, ν).

Before stating the main result of this section, we discuss the **linear differential form** associated with an IM-form. For a general vector bundle $p : F \to N$, a differential form θ on the manifold F will be called a **linear differential form** if it satisfies:

$$m_t^*(\theta) = t\theta, \quad \forall\ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $m_t : F \to F$ denotes the multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The space of all linear differential forms will be denoted by $\Omega^\bullet_{\text{lin}}(F) \subset \Omega^\bullet(F)$.

Note that any linear bundle map covering the identity

$$\alpha : F \to \wedge^d T^*N,$$

one can associate a linear differential d-form on F, denoted $\widetilde{\alpha}$, as follows:

$$\widetilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^d_{\text{lin}}(F), \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_y := p^*(\alpha(y)), \quad y \in F. \tag{4.18}$$

Also note that the differential of a linear form is linear. In fact, these two operations generate all linear forms; more precisely, the following holds (see [4, Lemma 1 and Proposition 1]):

Lemma 4.1 *Any $\theta \in \Omega^d_{\text{lin}}(F)$ has a unique decomposition of the form*

$$\theta = \widetilde{\alpha} + d\widetilde{\beta},$$

with $\alpha : F \to \wedge^d T^*N$ and $\alpha : F \to \wedge^{d-1}T^*N$. The two linear maps are determined by:

$$\beta(y) = i_N^*(i_y\theta), \quad \alpha(y) = y^*(\theta) - d\beta(y), \quad \forall\ y \in \Gamma(F),$$

where, in the first relation, y is regarded as a vertical vector field on F and $i_N : N \to F$ denotes the zero-section. Moreover, θ vanishes along $N \subset F$ iff $\beta = 0$.

For an IM-k-form (l,ν) on A, by regarding $l \in \Omega^{k-1}(M;A^*)$ and $\nu \in \Omega^k(M;A^*)$, we define the corresponding **linear differential form** as follows:

$$\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} := \widetilde{\nu} + d\widetilde{l} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{lin}}(A). \tag{4.19}$$

By the above Lemma, the IM-form (l,ν) can be recovered in terms of $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$; and moreover, equations (4.16) can be written directly in terms of $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$ (see [4], or subsection 4.2, below).

Let ω be a local multiplicative form on the local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, and let (l,ν) be the IM-form on the Lie algebroid A of G to which ω differentiates. The linear form $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} = \widetilde{\nu} + d\widetilde{l}$ can be thought of as the linearization of ω along M, in the following sense:

Lemma 4.2 *For any tubular structure $\varphi : A \dashrightarrow G$, we have that:*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} m_\epsilon^*(\varphi^*(\omega)) = \Lambda_{(l,\nu)},$$

where $m_\epsilon : A \to A$ denotes multiplication by $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: The formula can be easily checked in local coordinates, by using (4.17), (4.19), and that $m_0^*(\varphi^*(\omega)) = u^*(\omega) = 0$, which follows by multiplicativity of ω. □

We are ready to state the main result of this section, which provides a formula for the inverse of the differentiation operation on a spray groupoid, i.e. the **integration operation**.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the local spray groupoid associated to the spray V on the Lie algebroid $A \to M$. For a multiplicative IM-k-form (l,ν) on A, with corresponding linear k-form $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{lin}}(A)$, the formula*

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \left(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}\right) dt \in \Omega^k(G_V) \tag{4.20}$$

defines a local multiplicative k-form on the spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ which integrates (l,ν). Moreover, the germ around $M \subset G_V$ of such an integration ω of (l,ν) is unique.

Notice that the domain of ω must be small enough such that ϕ_V^t be defined for $t \in [0,1]$.

As a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 4.3 is the following (see also [27, Proposition 8]):

Corollary 4.4 *Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. Differentiation gives a one-to-one correspondence between germs around M of multiplicative forms and IM-forms.*

Remark 4.5 The formula from Theorem 4.3 is in fact a chain map, where the differential on multiplicative forms is simply the de Rham differential, and the differential on IM-forms acts as

$$d_{IM}(l,\nu) = (\nu, 0).$$

Remark 4.6 Using that $m_\epsilon^* \circ (\phi_V^t)^* = \phi^t_{m_\epsilon^*(V)} \circ m_\epsilon$, that $m_\epsilon^*(V) = \epsilon V$ and that $m_\epsilon^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}) = \epsilon\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$, we obtain:

$$m_\epsilon^*(\omega) = \int_0^1 m_\epsilon^* \circ (\varphi_V^t)^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)})dt = \int_0^1 (\varphi_V^{\epsilon t})^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)})\epsilon dt = \int_0^\epsilon (\varphi_V^s)^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)})ds.$$

This shows that $m_0^*(\omega) = 0$ and that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} m_\epsilon^*(\omega)/\epsilon = \Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$. Hence, $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$ is the linearization of ω around the unit section, and so, once we prove that ω is multiplicative, it will follow that it differentiates to (l,ν).

Remark 4.7 Using eq. (3.5) for the differential of ϕ_V^t at points $x \in M \subset A$ on the zero section, and recalling the natural decomposition $TA|_M = TM \oplus A$, we can evaluate the form ω given in eq. (4.20) along the zero section. The resulting expression is

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}) = \sum_{p_1,\dots,p_j \in \{0,1\}} \frac{1}{1 + \sum_i p_i} \Lambda_{(l,\nu)}(\rho^{p_1} a_1, \dots, \rho^{p_j} a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}),$$

where $v_i \in T_xM \subset T_xA$, $a_i \in A_x \subset T_xA$, and we convened to denote: $\rho^0 a = a$ and $\rho^1 a = \rho a$. Along the zero-section, it is easy to see that $(\widetilde{\nu})_x = 0$ and $(\widetilde{dl})_x = l$ as an element in $A_x^* \otimes \wedge^{k-1} T_x^* M$. Therefore,

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} (-1)^{i-1} l(a_i)(\rho a_1, \dots, \widehat{\rho a_i}, \dots, \rho a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k-j}). \tag{4.21}$$

Applying this to $d_{IM}(l, \nu) = (\nu, 0)$, and Remark 4.5, we obtain that:

$$d\omega(a_1, \dots, a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1-j}) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} (-1)^{i-1} \nu(a_i)(\rho a_1, \dots, \widehat{\rho a_i}, \dots, \rho a_j, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1-j}).$$

For $j = 1$, these formulas imply again that, indeed, ω integrates (l, ν), i.e. eq. (4.17) holds.

Example 4.8 For the Lie algebroid TM one easily sees that any IM-k-form is of the form $(\varpi^\flat, (d\varpi)^\flat)$, for a unique k-form $\varpi \in \Omega^k(M)$. As usual "$\flat$" denotes the map induced by contraction: for $\eta \in \Omega^k(M)$, $\eta^\flat : TM \to \wedge^{k-1} T^*M$, $X \mapsto \iota_X \eta$. For any Lie algebroid A over M, one pulls back this IM-form via the anchor and obtain the IM-k-form $(\varpi^\flat \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^\flat \circ \rho)$ on A.

The associated global construction is as follows. If $G \rightrightarrows M$ is a local Lie groupoid integrating A, then, for $\varpi \in \Omega^k(M)$, $\omega := \tau^* \varpi - \sigma^* \varpi$ is a local multiplicative form which integrates $(\varpi^\flat \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^\flat \circ \rho)$.

Let us verify that this is what Theorem 4.3 predicts. First, note that, for any $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(M)$ and any spray V on A, we have that:

$$\widetilde{\alpha^\flat \circ \rho} = i_V q^* \alpha.$$

This implies that the linear form corresponding to $(\varpi^\flat \circ \rho, (d\varpi)^\flat \circ \rho)$ is

$$\Lambda = \widetilde{d\varpi^\flat \circ \rho} + \widetilde{(d\varpi)^\flat \circ \rho} = d i_V q^* \varpi + i_V q^* d\varpi = \mathcal{L}_V q^* \varpi.$$

Therefore, we obtain the expected result:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \Lambda \, dt = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \mathcal{L}_V q^* \varpi \, dt = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (\phi_V^t)^* q^* \varpi \, dt = \\ &= (q \circ \phi_V^1)^* \varpi - q^* \varpi = \tau^* \varpi - \sigma^* \varpi. \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Multiplicative forms as morphisms

The key point in the proof of Theorem 4.3, as well as in many proofs involving IM-forms, is the equivalence between multiplicative/IM-forms and certain Lie groupoid/algebroid maps.

We first review the tangent groupoid construction. Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid. The application of the tangent functor to its structure maps defines a local Lie groupoid

$$TG \rightrightarrows TM$$

called the local **tangent groupoid** associated to G. Note that TG is *more than* a local Lie groupoid, because its structure maps are vector bundles maps, covering the structure maps of G, and their domains are vector bundles over the domains of the structure maps of G; in fact, such an object should be called a **local VB-groupoid**, in concordance with the terminology of VB-groupoids from [21], see also [29]. By taking the direct sum of the structure maps of TG, one obtains the structure of a local Lie groupoid on (see e.g. [4] for more details)

$$T^{(k)}G := TG \oplus \ldots \oplus TG \rightrightarrows T^{(k)}M := TM \oplus \ldots \oplus TM.$$

The infinitesimal counterpart of this construction is the **tangent Lie algebroid** structure on $dq : TA \to TM$ associated to a Lie algebroid $q : A \to M$ (see [29] for further information). Before providing more details, let us mention that, as before, the algebroid structure is compatible with the vector bundle structure $TA \to A$ (defining a **VB-algebroid**) and therefore it extends to direct sums yielding a Lie algebroid structure on

$$T^{(k)}A = TA \oplus_A \cdots \oplus_A TA \to T^{(k)}M.$$

Explicit formulas for the Lie algebroid structure on $T^{(k)}A$ can be found in [4].

Let G be a local Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A = T^\sigma G|_M$. Then, the Lie algebroid of $T^{(k)}G \rightrightarrows TM$ is naturally isomorphic to $T^{(k)}A \to TM$ (see [29, 4]). For $k = 1$, the identification between the vector bundles $T^{d\sigma}(TG)|_{TM} \to TM$ and $TA = T(T^\sigma G|_M) \to TM$ is realized by the natural involution of $T(TG)$ which switches second order derivatives:

$$\psi : T^{d\sigma}\big(TG\big)|_{TM} \xrightarrow{\sim} T\big(T^\sigma G|_M\big), \qquad \frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t) \mapsto \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\frac{d}{dt}g_\epsilon(t), \tag{4.22}$$

where $g_\epsilon(t) \in G$ is a smooth two-parameter family, such that $\sigma(g_\epsilon(t)) = x_\epsilon = g_\epsilon(0)$. In general, the map $\psi \oplus \ldots \oplus \psi$ induces then an isomorphism between the Lie algebroid of $T^{(k)}G$ and $T^{(k)}A$.

For later use, let us note the following:

Lemma 4.9 *The Maurer-Cartan form of TG is given by:*

$$\theta_{TG}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t)\Big) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}g_\epsilon(t)\Big),$$

where $g_\epsilon(t) \in G$ is a smooth two-parameter family, such that $\sigma(g_\epsilon(t)) = x_\epsilon$ is independent of t.

Proof: We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{TG}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t)\Big) &= \psi \circ \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=t} d\mu\Big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(s), d\iota\big(\frac{d}{d\epsilon}g_\epsilon(t)\big)\Big) = \psi \circ \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=t}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mu\big(g_\epsilon(s), \iota(g_\epsilon(t))\big) = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=t}\mu\big(g_\epsilon(s), \iota(g_\epsilon(t))\big) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}g_\epsilon(t)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

□

The relevance to our problem of these tangent structures is the following. A local multiplicative k-form ω on $G \rightrightarrows M$ defines a local Lie groupoid map via the equation

$$\overline{\omega} : T^{(k)}G \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \qquad (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \mapsto \omega(v_1, \ldots, v_k), \quad v_1, \ldots, v_k \in T_gG.$$

At the infinitesimal level, we also have that, if (l,ν) is an IM-k-form on A, with corresponding linear differential k-form $\Lambda_{(l,\nu)} = \widetilde{dl} + \widetilde{\nu} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{lin}}(A)$, then the map

$$\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,\nu)} : T^{(k)}A \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (v_1,\ldots,v_k) \mapsto \Lambda_{(l,\nu)}(v_1,\ldots,v_k), \quad v_1,\ldots,v_k \in T_aA \tag{4.23}$$

defines a Lie algebroid morphism [4, Theorem 1]. The following result is proven in [4, Lemma 6,7] for Lie groupoids, but the proof applies without any changes to local Lie groupoids:

Lemma 4.10 *Let ω be a local multiplicative k-form on G, and let (l,ν) be the IM-k-form on A to which ω differentiates. The local groupoid map $\overline{\omega} : T^{(k)}G \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ differentiates to the Lie algebroid morphism $\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,\nu)} : T^{(k)}A \to \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 4.11 This Lemma implies already the uniqueness assertion in Theorem 4.3, namely, if there are two local multiplicative k-forms ω_1 and ω_2 on G, which both differentiate to the IM-form (l,ν), then it follows that the local Lie groupoid maps $\overline{\omega}_1$ and $\overline{\omega}_2$ differentiate to the same Lie algebroid map $\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,\nu)}$, and therefore, by Theorem 2.3, $\overline{\omega}_1$ and $\overline{\omega}_2$ coincide in a neighborhood of $T^{(k)}M$ in $T^{(k)}G$, which implies that ω_1 and ω_2 have the same germ around M.

4.3 The spray tangent groupoid

Let A be a Lie algebroid, and let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the spray groupoid associated to a spray V. Denote by $V_{T^{(k)}}$ the tangent lift of V to $T^{(k)}A$: $V_{T^{(k)}}$ is the vector field with local flow

$$\phi^t_{V_{T^{(k)}}} = d\phi^t_V \oplus \ldots \oplus d\phi^t_V : T^{(k)}A \to T^{(k)}A.$$

As spaces, $G_V = A$, therefore, $T^{(k)}G_V = T^{(k)}A$. In fact, this is an equality of local Lie groupoids:

Proposition 4.12 *We have that $V_{T^{(k)}}$ is a Lie algebroid spray for $T^{(k)}A$. Moreover, the associated spray groupoid $G_{V_{T^{(k)}}}$ has the same germ around the unit section $T^{(k)}M$ as the local tangent groupoid $T^{(k)}G_V$.*

Proof: We discuss the proof for $k = 1$; in the general case, the argument can be adapted directly. Denote by $V_T \in \mathfrak{X}(TA)$ the tangent lift of V. If $m_t : A \to A$ denotes fiberwise multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $dm_t : TA \to TA$ is the fiberwise multiplication corresponding to the vector bundle structure $dq : TA \to TM$. As for any vector bundle, along the zero-section $TM \subset TA$ we have the canonical identification $T^{dq}(TA)|_{TM} \cong TA$; since $G_V = A$ as spaces, this is also the canonical isomorphism (4.22) between the Lie algebroid of TG_V and TA. Next, we use the isotopy λ_t from Section 3.4 which characterizes spray groupoids:

$$\lambda_t : TG_V \to TG_V, \quad \lambda_t(v) := \theta_{TG_V}\Big(\frac{d}{dt} dm_t(v)\Big),$$

and which is defined in a neighborhood of TM in TG_V. By Lemma 4.9 and eq. (3.9), we have:

$$\lambda_t(v) = \theta_{TG_V}\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\big|_{\epsilon=0}(tg_\epsilon)\Big) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\big|_{\epsilon=0}\theta_G\Big(\frac{d}{dt}(tg_\epsilon)\Big) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\big|_{\epsilon=0}\phi^t_V(g_\epsilon) = d\phi^t_V(v) = \phi^t_{V_T}(v),$$

where $g_\epsilon \in G_V$ be a path such that $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}|_{\epsilon=0} g_\epsilon = v$. Thus, we obtain that

$$\lambda_t = \phi^t_{V_T}. \tag{4.24}$$

This equation yields the conclusion: for $t = 0$, it shows that $dm_t : TG_V \to TG_V$ is a tubular structure; taking the derivative at $t = 0$, Lemma 3.19 implies that V_T is a spray for TG_V; and finally, by Proposition 3.20, eq. (4.24) shows that, around TM, TG_V is the spray groupoid G_{V^T}. □

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.3

Let (l,ν) be an IM-k-form on A. The corresponding Lie algebroid map $\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,\nu)} : T^{(k)}A \to \mathbb{R}$, defined in (4.23), integrates to a local Lie groupoid map $F : T^{(k)}G_V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ around the unit section of the spray groupoid $G_{V^{T^{(k)}}} = T^{(k)}G_V$; and moreover, by Example 3.15, F is given by:

$$F(v_1,\dots,v_k) = \int_0^1 \overline{\Lambda}_{(l,\nu)}(\phi^t_{V^{T^{(k)}}}(v_1,\dots,v_k))\ dt\ = \int_0^1 (\phi^t_V)^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)})(v_1,\dots,v_k)\ dt.$$

So, $F = \overline{\omega}$, where $\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi^t_V)^*(\Lambda_{(l,\nu)})dt$. Since F is a local groupoid map, ω is a multiplicative k-form on G_V. Finally, by Remark 4.7, ω integrates (l,ν), and by Remark 4.11, this property characterizes the germ of ω around G_V uniquely. Thus, Theorem 4.3 is proven.

Remark 4.13 *(Integrating local multiplicative multivectors)* Following [4, Section 6], the description of multiplicative multivectors is quite parallel to our discussion of multiplicative forms. In this case, the role of the tangent local groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ is replaced by the **cotangent local (VB-)groupoid** $T^*G \rightrightarrows A^*$ (with cotagent Lie algebroid $T^*A \to A^*$). A local multiplicative multivector field Π on $G \rightrightarrows M$ corresponds to a local 1-cocycle on k-copies of T^*G and its infinitesimal counterpart π to a 1-cocycle on k-copies of T^*A (for more details, see [4, 24]). To provide a formula for Π in terms of the infinitesimal π analogous to that of Theorem 4.3, one can try to proceed as in the case of forms above and use a spray $\hat{V}$ for $T^*A \to A^*$. Unlike the case of $TA \to TM$, there is no natural way of inducing such a spray $\hat{V}$ from a spray V for A without making any additional non-canonical choices. Moreover, in general, the exponential isomorphism $\exp_{\hat{V}} : G_{T^*A,\hat{V}} \dashrightarrow T^*G_V$ (from Corollary 3.17) will be non-trivial. Nevertheless, this constructions lead to the following formula (recall Example 3.15)

$$\Pi(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k) = \int_0^1 \pi(\phi^t_{\hat{V}}(\exp^{-1}_{\hat{V}}\alpha_1),\dots,\phi^t_{\hat{V}}(\exp^{-1}_{\hat{V}}\alpha_1))dt,\ \alpha_i \in T^*_gG_V,$$

which can be shown to indeed define a local multipicative multivector Π integrating π. We also remark that the arguments for forms and multivectors can also be combined to yield formulas for **local multiplicative tensor fields** (see [6]) on the spray groupoid G_V.

4.5 Representations

In this subsection, we describe the integration of representations from Lie algebroids to spray groupoids; this shall be used in the next section to integrate IM-forms with coefficients.

The **general linear groupoid** $GL(E) \rightrightarrows M$ of a vector bundle $E \to M$ consists of linear isomorphism $E_x \xrightarrow{\sim} E_y$, $x,y \in M$. A **local representation** of a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ on E is defined as a local Lie groupoid map $F : G \dashrightarrow GL(E)$ covering the identity map on M. Thus, for any arrow $g \in G$ (which is close enough to M), one has an associated linear isomorphism $F(g) : E_{\sigma(g)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\tau(g)},\ e \mapsto g \cdot e$.

A possible construction of the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ of $GL(E)$ goes as follows:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(E)_x := \{v \in \Gamma(TE|_{E_x})\ :\ dm_t(v_e) = v_{te}, \quad \forall\ t \neq 0, \forall e \in E_x\},$$

where $m_t : E \to E$ denotes multiplication by $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This identification is such that, given a smooth family of linear isomorphisms $g_t : E_x \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{x_t}$, with $g_0 = \mathrm{id}_{E_x}$, the element:

$$v := \theta_{GL(E)}\Big(\frac{dg_t}{dt}\big|_{t=0}\Big) \in \mathfrak{gl}(E)_x$$

is simply given by

$$v_e = \frac{d}{dt} g_t(e)\big|_{t=0} \in T_e E.$$

The bracket is such that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{gl}(E)) \subset \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is a Lie subalgebra. A **representation** of a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ on $E \to M$ is a Lie algebroid map $f : A \to \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ covering the identity on M.

Remark 4.14 The more standard description of a representation of a Lie algebroid (see [11]) is in terms of a flat A-connection on E

$$\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E).$$

The two descriptions are related by:

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla_a(e)} = [f \circ a, \overrightarrow{e}], \quad a \in \Gamma(A),\ e \in \Gamma(E),$$

where $\overrightarrow{e} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ denoted the constant vertical vector field corresponding to a section $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

Next, recall that any vector bundle $p : E \to M$ can be seen as a Lie groupoid with multiplication being fiberwise addition. Thus, given a local representation of $G \rightrightarrows M$ on E, we can form the **semidirect product local groupoid** $G \ltimes E \rightrightarrows M$ whose set of arrows is $G \times_{\sigma,p} E$ and

$$\sigma(g, e) = \sigma(g), \quad \tau(g, e) = \tau(g), \quad \mu((g, e), (h, e')) = (gh, h^{-1} \cdot e + e').$$

The corresponding infinitesimal notion is that of the **semidirect product Lie algebroid** $A \ltimes E \to M$ associated to a representation $f : A \to \mathfrak{gl}(E)$. The underlying bundle is $A \oplus E \to M$; the anchor is $(a, e) \mapsto \rho(a)$; and the bracket is determined by the anchor and $[\alpha, e] = \nabla_\alpha e, \alpha \in \Gamma(A), e \in \Gamma(E)$. The following is straightforward:

Lemma 4.15 *A spray V on A induces a spray V_f on $A \ltimes E$, defined at $(a, e) \in A_x \times E_x$ by*

$$(V_f)_{(a,e)} = (V_a, f(a)_e) \in T_{(a,e)}(A \ltimes E) = T_a A \times_{T_x M} T_e E.$$

By Corollary 3.13, given a spray V on A, the representation $f : A \to \mathfrak{gl}(E)$ integrates to a local representation $F : G_V \dashrightarrow GL(E)$ of the spray groupoid. Below, we describe this explicitly:

Proposition 4.16 *Let $F(a)(e) = a \cdot e$ denote the local representation of G_V on E integrating f. Then F is determined by the flow $\phi^t_{V_f}$ of V_f; namely, for small $(a, e) \in A \ltimes E$, we have that*

$$\phi^t_{V_f}(a, e) = (\phi^t_V(a), (ta) \cdot e).$$

In other words, the curve $e_t = (ta) \cdot e$ is the solution to the ODE:

$$\frac{d}{dt} e_t = f(\phi^t_V(a))_{e_t}, \quad e_0 = e. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof: By Corollary 3.13, $F(a) = k(1, a)$, where $t \mapsto k_t = k(t, a)$ is the solution of the ODE

$$\Big(\frac{d}{dt} k_t\Big) \circ k_t^{-1} = f(\phi^t_V(a)), \quad k_t : E_{q(a)} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{q(\phi^t_V(a))}, \quad k_0 = \mathrm{id}_{E_{q(a)}}. \tag{4.26}$$

On the other hand, $k_t = F(ta)$ (see Theorem 2.3); therefore, for small $(a, e) \in A_x \times E_x$, $e_t = F(ta)(e) = (ta) \cdot e$ is the solution to the ODE (4.25). This implies the statement. □

In the terminology of [11], the action $(ta) \cdot e$ coincides with the parallel transport relative to the A-connection ∇ along the A-path $\phi^t_V(a)$:

$$(ta) \cdot e = T^{t,0}_{\phi^\bullet_V(a)}(e).$$

4.6 Multiplicative forms with coefficients and Spencer operators

We start with a short review of local multiplicative forms with values in a representation, and their infinitesimal counterparts, called Spencer operators; detailed proofs of the statements can be found in [13, 34].

Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a local Lie groupoid acting on the vector bundle E. A **local E-valued multiplicative** k-form on G is an ordinary local (σ^*E)-valued k-form $\omega \in \Omega^k(U, \sigma^*E)$, where $U \subset G$ is a neighbourhood of M, such that the following analogue of (4.15) holds around M:

$$\mu^*(\omega_{gh}) = h^{-1} \cdot p_1^*(\omega_g) + p_2^*(\omega_h), \quad (g,h) \in G_\mu. \tag{4.27}$$

Notice that, in analogy with the trivial coefficients case, this condition is equivalent to requiring that the induced map

$$\overline{\omega} : T^{(k)}G \dashrightarrow G \ltimes E, (v_1, \ldots, v_k) \mapsto (g, \omega(v_1, \ldots, v_k)), \quad v_1, \ldots, v_k \in T_gG,$$

defines a local Lie groupoid map.

The infinitesimal version of E-valued multiplicative forms are **Spencer operators** of degree k on a Lie algebroid A, with values on a representation $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ of A. These consist of a vector bundle map l and a linear operator D:

$$l : A \to \wedge^{k-1}T^*M \otimes E \qquad D : \Gamma(A) \to \Omega^k(M; E)$$

satisfying the Leibniz identity

$$D(fa) = fD(a) + df \wedge l(a),$$

and the three compatibility conditions:

$$D([a,b]) = \mathcal{L}_a D(b) - \mathcal{L}_b D(a), \quad l([a,b]) = \mathcal{L}_a l(b) - i_{\rho(b)} D(a), \quad i_{\rho(a)} l(b) = -i_{\rho(b)} l(a), \tag{4.28}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$; the operator $\mathcal{L}_a$ acts on $\Omega^k(M, E)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_a \omega(v_1, \ldots, v_k) = \nabla_a(\omega(v_1, \ldots, v_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega(v_1, \ldots, [\rho(a), v_i], \ldots, v_k), \tag{4.29}$$

for all $v_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Next, we describe **differentiation**. To a local E-valued multiplicative k-form ω on G one associates a Spencer operator (l, D) on its Lie algebroid A via the relations:

$$l(a) = u^*(i_a \omega), \quad D(a) = u^*\Big(\frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} (\phi^t_{a^R})^* \omega\Big) \tag{4.30}$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, where $a^R \in \Gamma(T^sG)$ denotes the right-invariant vector field on G defined by a, and $u : M \hookrightarrow G$ is the unit section. In this case, we say that ω **integrates** (l, D).

Finally, we give a description of Spencer operators in terms of linear forms and morphisms. To a Spencer operator (l, D) one can assign a linear E-valued k-form

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{(l,D)} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{lin}}(A; E),$$

where, as usual, linearity means that $m_t^*(\Lambda) = t\Lambda$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We summarize the E-valued analogue of Lemma 4.1 and of the discussion around (4.23) in the following:

Lemma 4.17 *For two vector bundles $q_1, q_2 : F_1, F_2 \to N$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between:*

- *pairs (l, D) consisting of a vector bundle map $l : F_1 \to \wedge^{k-1} T^*N \otimes F_2$ and an operator $D : \Gamma(F_2) \to \Omega^k(N; F_2)$ satisfying the Leibniz relation $D(fa) = fD(a) + df \wedge l(a)$, for $a \in \Gamma(F_2)$ and $f \in C^\infty(N)$;*
- *elements $\Lambda \in \Omega^k_{\rm lin}(F_1; F_2) := \Omega^k_{\rm lin}(F_1; q_1^* F_2)$, i.e. F_2 valued linear differential forms on the manifold F_1.*

The correspondence is determined by the following relations:

$$l(a) = i_N^*(i_a \Lambda), \quad D(a) = a^*(\Lambda), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(F_1),$$

where, in the first relation, a is regarded as a vertical vector field on F_1 and $i_N : N \to F_1$ is the zero-section.

Moreover, in the case $F_1 = A$ is endowed with a Lie algebroid structure, $F_2 = E$ is a representation of A and (l, D) satisfy the Leibniz identity, then (l, D) fullfill the compatibility conditions (4.28) *iff the induced map*

$$\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,D)} : T^{(k)}A \to A \ltimes E, \ v_1, \dots, v_k \mapsto (a, \Lambda_{(l,D)}(v_1, \dots, v_k)), \ v_1, \dots, v_k \in T_a A,$$

defines a Lie algebroid morphism.

Proof: Let us give this correspondence in local coordinates. Let x^i denote local coordinates on $U \subset N$, f_j a frame of $F_1|_U$ with induced dual coordinates y^j on the fibers of F_1, and r_l a local frame on $F_2|_U$. The correspondence is such that, if

$$D(f_j) = \omega^l_{I_k, j}(x) dx^{I_k} \otimes r_l, \quad l(v_j) = \omega^l_{I_{k-1}, j}(x) dx^{I_{k-1}} \otimes r_l,$$

where I_p denotes an index set $I_p = \{i_1 < \ldots < i_p\}$ and $dx^{I_p} := dx^{i_1} \ldots dx^{i_p}$, then

$$\Lambda = y^j \omega^l_{I_k, j}(x) dx^{I_k} \otimes r_l + \omega^l_{I_{k-1}, j}(x) dy^j \wedge dx^{I_{k-1}} \otimes r_l.$$

The characterization of the compatibility conditions for (l, D) in terms of $\overline{\Lambda}_{(l,D)}$ defining a Lie algebroid morphism can also be checked in the above local terms by a straightforward generalization of the computations in the proof of [4, Theorem 1]. □

We state now the main result of this section:

Theorem 4.18 *Let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the spray groupoid of a spray V on A. Given an E-valued Spencer operator (l, D) of degree k on A, with corresponding linear form $\Lambda = \Lambda_{(l,D)}$, the formula*

$$a \mapsto \omega_a = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \big((ta)^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{\phi_V^t(a)} \big) \, dt \in \wedge^k T_a^* A \otimes E_{q(a)} \tag{4.31}$$

defines a local E-valued multiplicative k-form ω on G_V which integrates (l, D). Moreover, the germ around M of such an integration is unique.

The proof of the above theorem is completely analogous to that of the trivial coefficients case (Theorem 4.3): given (l, D) a Spencer operator, the previous Lemma yields an associated Lie algebroid morphism $f = \overline{\Lambda}_{(l,D)} : T^{(k)}A \to A \ltimes E$ and we consider its integration $F : T^{(k)}G_V \dashrightarrow G_V \ltimes E$ following Corollary 3.13; it is then straightforward to verify, using the description in Proposition 4.16, that $F = \overline{\omega}$ where ω is the explicit local E-valued k-form defined in (4.31). The uniqueness of the germ of ω as well as the fact that it differentiates to (l, D) follow just as in the case of Theorem 4.3.

Remark 4.19 1. *(The case of trivial coefficients.)* For $E = M \times \mathbb{R}$, endowed with the trivial representation, Theorem 4.18 reduces to Theorem 4.3. In this case, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Spencer operators (l, D) of order k and IM-k-forms (l, ν) which is determined by the relation:

$$D(a) = dl(a) + \nu(a), \quad a \in \Gamma(A).$$

Moreover, the corresponding forms on A coincide: $\Lambda_{(l,D)} = \Lambda_{(l,\nu)}$.

2. *(An embedding trick.)* One can embed local E-valued multiplicative forms (resp. E-valued Spencer operators) into ordinary $\mathbb{R}$-valued local multiplicative forms (resp. IM-forms) on a larger groupoid (resp. algebroid) as follows. Let $G \leftthreetimes E^* = \sigma^* E^* \rightrightarrows E^*$ be the **local action groupoid** associated to the dual local representation of G on E^*, and $A \leftthreetimes E^* = q^*A \to E^*$ its **(action) Lie algebroid**. Then, one can show that the assignment (4.18):

$$\omega \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(G; \sigma^*(E)) \mapsto \widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{loc}}(G \leftthreetimes E^*),$$

which sends $\sigma^*(E)$-valued forms on G to linear differential forms on $G \leftthreetimes E^*$, gives a one-to-one correspondence between

- germs around M of E-valued local multiplicative k-forms on G
- germs around $E^* \subset G \leftthreetimes E^*$ of local multiplicative k-forms on $G \leftthreetimes E^* \rightrightarrows E^*$ which vanish along G and are linear w.r.t the vector bundle structure $G \leftthreetimes E^* \to G$.

Similarly, there is a one-to-one correspondence between:

- E-valued Spencer operators (l, D) on A of order k;
- IM-k-forms $(l_\leftthreetimes, \nu_\leftthreetimes)$ on $A \leftthreetimes E^*$ whose corresponding linear form $\Lambda_{(l_\leftthreetimes, \nu_\leftthreetimes)} \in \Omega^k_{\mathrm{lin}}(A \leftthreetimes E^*)$ is also linear for the vector bundle structure $A \leftthreetimes E^* \to A$ and vanishes at points in $A \subset A \leftthreetimes E^*$.

See also [7] for similar embedding tricks. Given the above correspondences, one can give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.18 by specializing Theorem 4.3 to linear forms on $G \leftthreetimes E^*$.

5 Applications

Many geometric structures, for example Poisson, Dirac, Jacobi, generalized complex structures etc., can be encoded by a Lie algebroid endowed with a certain IM-form or, more generally, with a Spencer operator. Here we apply our general results to provide explicit local integrations of such structures to local multiplicative forms on the corresponding spray groupoids. As a byproduct, we reprove the global formulas for realizations of the following structures: Poisson [12], Dirac [20], and Nijenhuis-Poisson (including holomorphic Poisson) [3, 30]. We work out the integration of Jacobi structures by local contact groupoids, which involve non-trivial representations.

5.1 Local integration of Poisson structures

In [8], it is proven that a symplectic realization of a Poisson manifold, together with a fixed Lagrangian section, determine a local symplectic groupoid on the total space of the realization that integrates the Poisson manifold. This was one of the first general constructions of local Lie groupoids. By applying the "spray method" to this case, we give an explicit construction of a local symplectic spray groupoid integrating a Poisson manifold.

Let (M,π) be a Poisson manifold. The associated **cotangent Lie algebroid** has total space $T^*_\pi M = T^*M \to M$, has anchor map given by π turned into a bundle map $\pi^\sharp : T^*_\pi M \to TM$, and has Lie bracket given by $[a,b]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(a)} b - i_{\pi^\sharp(b)} da$, for $a, b \in \Omega^1(M)$.

The cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*_\pi M$ carries a canonical closed IM-2-form given by $(-\mathrm{id}, 0)$, with corresponding Spencer operator $(-\mathrm{id}, -d)$, and with corresponding linear form the canonical symplectic structure ω_0 on T^*M (see e.g. [4]).

Given a spray V for $T^*_\pi M$, also called a **Poisson spray**, we construct the associated spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$. By Theorem 4.3, G_V carries a local multiplicative 2-form given by

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \omega_0 \; dt. \tag{5.32}$$

We claim that, in a neighborhood of M,

$$(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi)$$

is a local symplectic groupoid integrating the Poisson manifold (M,π), in the sense of [8]. First, ω is obviously closed, and even exact, with (non-multiplicative) primitive $-\int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \alpha_0 \; dt$, where α_0 denotes the tautological 1-form on T^*M. At points on the unit-section $x \in M \subset G_V$, using the canonical decomposition $T_x(T^*M) = T_xM \oplus T^*_x M$, eq. (4.21) gives

$$\omega_x(v + a, w + b) = \langle b \mid v \rangle - \langle a \mid w \rangle + \pi(a, b), \tag{5.33}$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_x G_V$. In particular, this formula shows that ω is non-degenerate along M, and hence, it is so in a neighborhood of M. Thus, $(G_V, \omega) \rightrightarrows M$ is indeed a local symplectic groupoid. Following [8], ω^{-1} is q-projectable to a Poisson structure on M, and it follows immediately from the expression (5.33) at points of M that this Poisson structure is π. This proves the claim.

Being a local symplectic groupoid integrating π implies that $q : (T^*M, \omega) \dashrightarrow (M, \pi)$ defines a symplectic realization. This fact already appears in [12] and the proof given there is a direct but tedious calculation, whereas the one given here is Lie theoretic in nature, as it exploits the local Lie groupoid G_V and the multiplicativity of ω. (There is yet another short, Dirac-geometric proof of the realization property in [20].)

On the other hand, as noticed in [12], the local Lie groupoid structure on G_V is determined by the symplectic realization $q : (G_V, \omega) \to (M, \pi)$: first, in a neighborhood of M, the Maurer-Cartan form θ corresponding to the spray V can be given in terms of ω by:

$$\tau^*(\theta_a(v)) = -i_v \omega_a \in T^*_a G_V, \qquad v \in T^\sigma_a G_V. \tag{5.34}$$

This follows by the multiplicativity of ω: for any $w \in T_a G$, using (5.33) and writing $\theta_a(v) = d\mu(v, 0_{a^{-1}})$, and $d\tau(w) = d\mu(w, d\iota(w))$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^*(\theta_a(v))(w) &= \langle \theta_a(v) \mid d\tau(w) \rangle = \omega_{\tau(a)}(d\tau(w), \theta_a(v)) = \\ &= \omega_a(w, v) + \omega_{a^{-1}}(d\iota(X), 0_{a^{-1}}) = -\omega_a(v, w), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (5.34). Using formula (5.34), we obtain the following description of the ODE (3.10) describing the multiplication of G_V: for $(a,b) \in G_V \times_{\sigma,\tau} G_V$, close enough to M, the curve $k_t = \mu(ta, b)$, with $t \in [0,1]$, is the solution of the ODE

$$\frac{d}{dt}k_t = -\Pi^\sharp_{k_t}(\tau^*(\phi_V^t(a))), \quad k_0 = b, \tag{5.35}$$

where Π denotes the inverse of ω, which is defined on a neighborhood of M in G_V.

5.2 Closed IM-2-forms on Poisson manifolds

Consider the same setting as in the previous subsection: (M,π) is a Poisson manifold, V is a spray on the cotangent Lie algebroid $T^*_\pi M$, and $(G_V,\omega) \rightrightarrows (M,\pi)$ is the corresponding local symplectic spray groupoid.

A closed IM-2-form on $T^*_\pi M$, is given by a vector bundle map $l : T^*M \to T^*M$, such that:

$$\pi(l(a),b) = \pi(a,l(b)), \quad l([a,b]_\pi) = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp(a)}l(b) - i_{\pi^\sharp(b)}dl(a),$$

for all $a, b \in \Omega^1(M)$.

The linear 2-form associated to $(-l,0)$, is given by:

$$\Lambda_{(-l,0)} = l^*(\omega_0) \in \Omega^2(T^*M),$$

where ω_0 is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*M. Thus, the multiplicative closed 2-form on the spray groupoid G_V corresponding to $(-l,0)$ is given by

$$\omega_{(-l,0)} = \int_0^1 (l \circ \phi_V^t)^*\omega_0 \; dt.$$

Since the symplectic ω is non-degenerate around M, we can write:

$$\omega_{(-l,0)}(u,v) = \omega_L(u,v) := \omega(Lu,v), \quad u,v \in TG_V,$$

for a unique vector bundle map $L : TG_V \to TG_V$. The fact that ω and ω_L are multiplicative implies that L is multiplicative, i.e. it is a groupoid morphism (the Lie algebroid morphism induced by L is the **complete lift** of l to the cotangent bundle [3, Definition 7.1], see also [6]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TG_V & \overset{L}{\dashrightarrow} & TG_V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ TM & \xrightarrow{\quad l \quad} & TM \end{array},$$

where the dual of l was denote by the same symbol $l = l^t : TM \to TM$. To see that the base map is indeed l, we calculate $\omega_L = \omega_{(-l,0)}$ along the zero-section, using Remark 4.7, and obtain:

$$\omega_L(v+a, w+b) = \langle v \mid l(b)\rangle - \langle w \mid l(a)\rangle - \pi(l(a),b) = \omega(l(v)+l(a), w+b),$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_xG_V$, $x \in M$. Hence, along the zero-section,

$$L(v+a) = l(v) + l(a). \tag{5.36}$$

Finally, denote by $\Pi := \omega^{-1}$. For each $k \geq 0$, consider the bivector fields:

$$\Pi_{L^k} \in \mathfrak{X}^2(G_V), \quad \Pi^\sharp_{L^k} := \Pi^\sharp \circ L^k, \quad \pi_{l^k} \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M), \quad \pi^\sharp_{l^k} := \pi^\sharp \circ l^k.$$

Since $\sigma_*(\Pi) = \pi$, and L and l are σ related, it follows that also:

$$\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = \pi_{l^k} \quad \text{(and similarly, } \tau_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = -\pi_{l^k}).$$

In general, these bivector fields are not Poisson.

5.2.1 The case of invertible IM-forms

We discuss now the case when $l : T^*M \to T^*M$ is invertible. By (5.36), this is equivalent to L being invertible around M, and is also equivalent to ω_L being symplectic. By the argument above, also for $k < 0$ we have that $\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^k}) = \pi_{l^k}$. Moreover, the following hold:

- $\pi_{l^{-1}}$ is Poisson and $(G_V, \omega_L) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l^{-1}})$ is a symplectic groupoid.
- The vector field $l_*V = dl \circ V \circ l^{-1}$ is a Poisson spray for $\pi_{l^{-1}}$. If $(G_{l_*V}, \omega_{l_*V}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l^{-1}})$ denotes the local symplectic spray groupoid of l_*V, then

$$l : (G_V, \omega_L) \dashrightarrow (G_{l_*V}, \omega_{l_*V})$$

  gives an isomorphism between germs of local symplectic groupoids.

Clearly (G_V, ω_L) is a symplectic groupoid. Since $(\omega_L)^{-1} = \Pi_{L^{-1}}$, and $\sigma_*(\Pi_{L^{-1}}) = \pi_{l^{-1}}$, the first part follows. By a direct verification, l_*V is indeed a Poisson spray for $\pi_{l^{-1}}$, and clearly, its flow is $\phi^t_{l_*V} = l \circ \phi^t_V \circ l^{-1}$. Since l intertwines the two sprays, Example 3.14 implies that l is a local Lie groupoid map between the two spray groupoids $l : G_V \dashrightarrow G_{l_*V}$. Finally, note that l is a local symplectomorphism:

$$\omega_L = \int_0^1 (l \circ \phi^t_V)^* \omega_0 \; dt = \int_0^1 (\phi^t_{l_*V} \circ l)^* \omega_0 \; dt = l^* \Big(\int_0^1 (\phi^t_{l_*V})^* \omega_0 \; dt \Big) = l^*(\omega_{l_*V}).$$

This completes the proof of the claims made above.

In particular we have obtained that the projection $\sigma = q : T^*M = G_V \to M$ gives two symplectic realizations:

$$q : (\mathcal{U}, \omega) \to (M, \pi), \quad q : (\mathcal{U}, \omega_L) \to (M, \pi_{l^{-1}}),$$

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi^t_V)^* \omega_0 \; dt, \quad \omega_L = \int_0^1 (\phi^t_V)^* l^*(\omega_0) \; dt,$$

for some neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset T^*M$ of M. This gives a different proof of [30, Theorem 3.3], but without the assumption that l be a Nijenhuis tensor (!) (see also Subsection 5.2.3).

5.2.2 Deformations of a multiplicative symplectic structure

A closed IM-2-form l induces a **deformation of the multiplicative symplectic structure** ω of G_V, namely, the one-parameter family of closed multiplicative 2-form on $G_V \rightrightarrows M$:

$$\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega + \omega_{\epsilon L},$$

defined for small ϵ, which corresponds to $l_\epsilon = \mathrm{Id} + \epsilon l$, and therefore $L_\epsilon = \mathrm{Id} + \epsilon L$. We will assume that l_ϵ is invertible (which always holds locally on M, for small ϵ), which implies that L_ϵ is invertible, and also that ω_{L_ϵ} is symplectic. As discussed above,

$$(G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}})$$

is a symplectic groupoid. Note that Taylor expansion in ϵ of the Poisson structure is given by:

$$\pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}} = \pi - \epsilon \pi_l + \epsilon^2 \pi_{l^2} - \epsilon^3 \pi_{l^3} + \dots.$$

Then π_l, the speed at $\epsilon = 0$, is a Poisson-cocycle: $[\pi, \pi_l] = 0$.

Let us discuss the case of **trivial deformations**, i.e. assume that $\pi_l = -[\pi, X]$, for some vector field X. This condition is equivalent to (X, l) being an IM-1-form, i.e. to l being exact; moreover, since the integration of IM-forms is a chain complex isomorphism to germs of multiplicative forms (Remark 4.5), the condition is also equivalent to ω_L being admitting a local multiplicative primitive η. By Theorem 4.3, this multiplicative 1-form integrating (X, l) is given by

$$\eta = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^*(l^*\alpha_0)\ dt + d\int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^*\widetilde{X}\ dt,$$

where α_0 is the tautological 1-form on T^*M and $\widetilde{X} \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ is X viewed as a linear function. Then $\omega_L = -d\eta$, and so $\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega - \epsilon d\eta$. Consider the local, time-dependent vector field Y_ϵ, defined by

$$i_{Y_\epsilon}\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \eta.$$

Then Y_ϵ is multiplicative, hence its flow $\phi^\epsilon_{Y_\bullet}$ is a local groupoid map; and by the usual Moser argument, $(\phi^\epsilon_{Y_\bullet})^*\omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega$. Thus we obtain a local symplectic groupoid isomorphism:

$$\phi^\epsilon_{Y_\bullet} : (G_V, \omega) \dashrightarrow (G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}).$$

Since Y_ϵ is multiplicative, it is σ-projectable to a vector field X_ϵ on M whose flow, when defined, is a Poisson isomorphism:

$$\phi^\epsilon_{X_\bullet} : (M, \pi) \to (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}}).$$

In fact, by calculating ω_{L_ϵ} and η along the zero-section with the aid of Remark 4.7,

$$\omega_{L_\epsilon}(v + a, w + b) = \langle v|l_\epsilon b\rangle - \langle w|l_\epsilon a\rangle - \pi(l_\epsilon a, b), \quad \eta(v + a) = \langle X_x|a\rangle,$$

for all $v + a, w + b \in T_xG_V$, $x \in M$, one obtains that $Y_\epsilon|_M = l_\epsilon^{-1} \circ X$. Hence, $X_\epsilon = l_\epsilon^{-1}(X)$.

Another interesting case are **gauge transformations**; i.e. when $l = \varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp$, for a closed 2-form ϖ on M. In this case, as discussed in Example 4.8, we have that $(-l, 0)$ integrates to $\omega_L = \sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi)$. We obtain the deformation of symplectic groupoids $(G_V, \omega_{L_\epsilon}) \rightrightarrows (M, \pi_{l_\epsilon^{-1}})$,

$$\text{where } \ \omega_{L_\epsilon} = \omega + \epsilon(\sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi)) \ \text{ and } \ \pi^\sharp_{l_\epsilon^{-1}} = \pi^\sharp \circ (\mathrm{Id} + \epsilon\varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp)^{-1}$$

is the gauge transformation of π by the closed 2-form $\epsilon\varpi$.

5.2.3 Local integration of Poisson-Nijenhuis structures

Here, we recover the results on local integrations and realizations of Poisson-Nijenhuis structures [3, 30, 35] using the spray groupoid perspective.

As before, let l be a closed IM-2-form on $T^*_\pi M$. The **Nijenhuis torsion** of l is defined by:

$$T_l \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes TM),$$

$$T_l(u, v) := [l(u), l(v)] - l([l(u), v] + [u, l(v)]) + l^2([u, v]), \quad u, v \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

where l and its transposed are denoted the same. In our setting, this tensor appears as follows (the result below is inspired by results in [10]):

Lemma 5.1 *Consider the multiplicative 2-form on G_V, $\omega_{L^2}(u,v)=\omega(L^2u,v)$. The IM-2-form corresponding to ω_{L^2} is $(-l^2,-T_l)$; moreover, the following three equations are equivalent:*

$$(1)\quad d\omega_{L^2}=0; \qquad (2)\quad T_l=0; \qquad (3)\quad T_L=0.$$

PROOF: The result is based on the calculations from [10]. First, we note a general formula: for any 2-form $\Omega\in\Omega^2(N)$ and any $(1,1)$ tensor $A:TN\to TN$ which satisfy $\Omega(AX,Y)=\Omega(X,AY)$, we have that [10, Lemma 2.9]

$$i_{T_A(u,v)}\Omega=(i_v\circ i_{Au}+i_{Av}\circ i_u)d\Omega_A-i_Av\circ i_{Au}d\Omega-i_v\circ i_ud\Omega_{A^2}, \tag{5.37}$$

for all $u,v\in TN$, where $\Omega_{A^k}(u,v):=\Omega(A^ku,v)$. Applying (5.37) to the multiplicative symplectic structure ω on G_V, and to the $(1,1)$ tensor L, we obtain that:

$$i_{T_L(u,v)}\omega=-i_v\circ i_ud\omega_{L^2}.$$

In particular, this shows that (1) and (3) are equivalent. Denote by $(\underline{l},\underline{\nu})$ the IM-2-form corresponding to ω_{L^2}. By the differentiation procedure (4.17), and by the explicit description of ω and L along the zero-section, we have that:

$$\underline{l}(a)(v)=\omega_{L^2}(a,v)=\omega(l^2(a),v)=-\langle l^2a\mid v\rangle,$$

$$\underline{\nu}(a)(v,w)=d\omega_{L^2}(a,v,w)=-\omega(T_L(v,w),a)=-\omega(T_l(v,w),a)=-T_l(a)(v,w),$$

where we have also used that $T_L(u,v)=T_l(u,v)$ for u,v tangent to the unit section. This implies that ω_{L^2} integrates $(-l^2,-T_l)$. Finally, by Remark 4.5, ω_{L^2} is closed iff $T_l=0$. □

Assume that (π,l) is a **Poisson-Nijenhuis structure**, i.e. π is a Poisson structure and l is a closed IM-2-form on $T^*_\pi M$ whose Nijenhuis torsion vanishes. The above shows that

$$(G_V,\omega,L)\rightrightarrows(M,\pi,l)$$

is a **local symplectic Nijenhuis groupoid** [35], i.e. (G_V,ω) is a local symplectic groupoid, L is multiplicative and $(\Pi=\omega^{-1},L)$ is a Poisson-Nijenhuis structure inducing on the base the Poisson-Nijenhuis structure (π,l). By the general theory of Poisson Nijenhuis structures [26], the bivector fields π_{l^k}, $k\geq 0$, are all Poisson structures, which pairwise commute; and similarly, at the groupoid level, the local multiplicative bivector fields Π_{L^k}, $k\geq 0$, are are all Poisson structures, which pairwise commute, and by the discussion in the beginning of Section 5.2, the source map is a Poisson map for all these structures (resp. the target map is anti-Poisson):

$$\sigma:(G_V,\Pi_{L^k})\to(M,\pi_{l^k}),\quad k\geq 0.$$

On the other hand, by using relation (5.37) for $\Omega=\omega_{L^k}$, one proves inductively that $d\omega_{L^k}=0$ for all $k\geq 0$. So, all these forms are multiplicative and closed, and their infinitesimal IM-forms are $(-l^k,0)$. This shows that:

$$\omega_{L^k}=\int_0^1(\phi_V^t)^*(l^k)^*\omega_0\ dt.$$

If l is invertible, the above discussion holds for all $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, and we obtain symplectic realizations:

$$q=\sigma:(G_V,\omega_{L^k})\to(M,\pi_{l^{-k}}),\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 5.2 In [3], the authors consider further **holomorphic Poisson structures**, i.e. Poisson Nijenhuis structures (M,π,j) such that $j^2=-\mathrm{Id}$. In this case, the local symplectic Nijenhuis groupoid (G_V,ω,J) which is obtained by the above procedure is automatically a local **holomorphic symplectic** groupoid. This follows by integrating the closed IM-2-form $(-j^2,0)=(\mathrm{Id},0)$, and conclude that $\omega_{J^2}=-\omega$, hence $J^2=-\mathrm{Id}$.

5.2.4 Local integration of generalized complex structure

Another setting where closed IM-2-forms on Poisson manifolds appear are generalized complex manifolds [22]. Let us describe the integration of these structures in the spirit of [10] to the corresponding spray groupoid.

Following [10], a **generalized complex structure** can be described by a triple (π, l, ϖ), where π is a Poisson structure on M, l is a closed IM-2-form on $T^*_\pi M$, and ϖ is a 2-form on M satisfying:

$$l^2 + \pi^\sharp \varpi^\flat = -\mathrm{Id}, \quad T_l(u,v) = \pi^\sharp(i_v i_u d\varpi),$$

$$l \circ \varpi = \varpi \circ l, \quad d\varpi_l(u,v,w) = d\varpi(lu,v,w) + d\varpi(u,lv,w) + d\varpi(u,v,lw),$$

where, as usual, $\varpi_l(u,v) := \varpi(l(u),v)$, and l and its transposed are denoted by the same symbol.

Consider the local integration (G_V, ω, ω_L) of (M, π, l), as in the first subsection. Following [10], the first set of equations has an interpretation in terms of IM-2-forms (for the second, we are not aware of such an interpretation); namely, the IM forms

$$-(\mathrm{Id}, 0), \quad -(l^2, T_l) \ \text{ and } \ (\varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp, d\varpi^\flat \circ \pi^\sharp)$$

integrate to the multiplicative forms ω, ω_{L^2} (Lemma 5.1) and $\tau^*(\varpi) - \sigma^*(\varpi)$ (Example 4.8), respectively; therefore the first conditions are equivalent to:

$$\omega + \omega_{L^2} = \tau^*(\varpi) - \sigma^*(\varpi).$$

The triple $(\Pi = \omega^{-1}, L, \sigma^*(\varpi) - \tau^*(\varpi))$ is a local multiplicative generalized complex structure on the spray groupoid G_V, constructed using only V, and which is a local integration in the sense of [10] of (π, l, ϖ).

5.3 Local integration of twisted Dirac structures

Generalizing Poisson structures, Dirac structures provide another example of Lie algebroids which carry natural IM 2-forms [4, 5]. We discuss their local integrations obtained from sprays.

Fix a closed 3-form $H \in \Omega^3(M)$. Recall [5] that an **H-twisted Dirac structure** is a subbundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M =: \mathbb{T}M$ over M which is lagrangian with respect to the natural symmetric pairing on $\mathbb{T}M$ and whose space of sections $\Gamma(L)$ is involutive with respect to the H-twisted Courant bracket on $\Gamma(\mathbb{T}M) = \mathfrak{X}^1(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$:

$$[\![v+\alpha, w+\beta]\!]_H = [v,w] + \mathcal{L}_v\beta - i_w d\alpha + i_w i_v H, \quad v,w \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M), \alpha,\beta \in \Omega^1(M).$$

Then $(L, [\![\cdot,\cdot]\!]_H, \rho)$ is a Lie algebroid with anchor $\rho(v+\alpha) = v$, which carries the canonical IM-2-form $(-\bar\rho, H^\flat \circ \rho)$ given by:

$$\bar\rho : L \to T^*M, \qquad v + \alpha \mapsto \alpha,$$
$$H^\flat \circ \rho : L \to \wedge^2 T^*M, \qquad v + \alpha \mapsto i_v H.$$

The linear form on L corresponding to $(-\bar\rho, H^\flat \circ \rho)$ is given by

$$\Lambda_{(-\bar\rho, H^\flat \circ \rho)} = \bar\rho^* \omega_0 + \Lambda_H \in \Omega^2_{\mathrm{lin}}(L), \quad \text{where } \Lambda_H := \widetilde{H^\flat \circ \rho}.$$

Let V be a spray on L with corresponding local spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$. Consider the local multiplicative 2-form on G_V integrating $(-\bar{\rho}, H^\flat \circ \rho)$:

$$\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^*(\bar{\rho}^*\omega_0 + \Lambda_H)\ dt.$$

Since integration is a chain map (Remark 4.5), the multiplicative 3-form $d\omega$ integrates the IM-3-form $(H^\flat \circ \rho, 0)$, therefore, by Example 4.8, we obtain that ω is **relatively H-closed**, i.e.

$$d\omega = \tau^* H - \sigma^* H.$$

Using the canonical decomposition $T_x L = T_x M \oplus L_x$, for $x \in M \subset L$, eq. (4.21) and that L is isotropic, we obtain that

$$\omega_x((v_1, w_1 + \alpha_1), (v_2, w_2 + \alpha_2)) = \langle \alpha_2 \mid v_1 \rangle - \langle \alpha_1 \mid v_2 + w_2 \rangle, \tag{5.38}$$

for all $(v_1, w_1 + \alpha_1), (v_2, w_2 + \alpha_2) \in T_x G_V$. This formula can be used to show that, along the unit section, ω satisfies the following so-called **robustness condition** [5]

$$\ker(\omega_a) \cap \ker(d_a\sigma) \cap \ker(d_a\tau) = 0, \quad a \in G_V.$$

This condition is open, therefore it is satisfied around the unit section. Thus, $(G_V, \omega, H) \rightrightarrows M$ defines a local version of the **presymplectic groupoids** defined in [5, Definition 2.1]. The local groupoid version of [5, Theorem 2.2] also holds, and therefore σ pushes the graph of ω forward to an H-twisted Dirac structure on M, and this Dirac structure is L[1], as it can be straightforwardly checked at points of the unit section using (5.38), just as in the Poisson case. Moreover, the source-target map becomes a **presymplectic realization** [5]:

$$\sigma \times \tau : (G_V, \omega) \dashrightarrow (M, L) \times (M, -L), \tag{5.39}$$

i.e. $\sigma \times \tau$ is a forward Dirac map and $\ker(\sigma \times \tau) \cap \ker(\omega) = 0$. Here G_V is endowed with the graph of ω, which is $(\sigma \times \tau)^*(H, -H)$-twisted, and $M \times M$ with the product Dirac structure $L \times -L$, where $-L := \{v - \alpha : v + \alpha \in L\}$ is the opposite Dirac structure, which is $(H, -H)$-twisted.

For $H = 0$, we have that $\omega = \int_0^1 (\phi_V^t)^* \bar{\rho}^* \omega_0\ dt$. In this case, the fact that (5.39) is a presymplectic realization was proven also in [20], with a direct Dirac-geometric argument.

5.4 Local integration of multiplicative distributions

We start by recalling the local groupoid version of multiplicative distributions; as a reference to multiplicative distributions on Lie groupoids, see [13].

By a **local distribution** on a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ we mean a vector subbundle $H \subset TG$ supported on a neighborhood $U \subset G$ of M, called the domain of H. A local distribution $H \subset TG$ is called **multiplicative** if it defines a local Lie subgroupoid over TM of the tangent groupoid $TG \rightrightarrows TM$ (see Subsection 4.2), in the sense that $TM \subset H$ and there are open neighborhoods $U_1 \subset G$ and $U_2 \subset G \times_{\sigma,\tau} G$ of M such that

$$d\iota(H|_{U_1}) \subset H \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu\big((H \times_{d\sigma, d\tau} H)|_{U_2}\big) \subset H.$$

[1]Our conventions differ slightly from [5]: in *loc. cit.* the presymplectic structure of a presymplectic groupoid is the negative of our presymplectic structure, and this makes the target map forward Dirac.

Any pointwise surjective E-valued local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(G;\sigma^*(E))$ (E any representation of G) gives rise to a multiplicative distribution: $H := \ker(\omega)$. In fact, every local multiplicative distribution is associated with a surjective multiplicative one-form as above, and this gives the recipe to construct the infinitesimal counterpart of multiplicative distributions. To see this, let $H \subset TG$ be a local multiplicative distribution, and denote

$$H^\sigma := T^\sigma G \cap H \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} := H^\sigma|_M \subset A.$$

There is a canonical *adjoint*-like local action of G on the vector bundle $A/\mathfrak{g}$, defined as follows:

$$g \cdot [v] := \big[\theta(d\mu(w,v))\big] \in A_{\tau(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\tau(g)}, \quad [v] \in A_{\sigma(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma(g)},$$

where $w \in H_g$ is any element satisfying $d\sigma(w) = d\tau(v)$ and θ is the Maurer-Cartan form of G. The existence of w is insured by the fact that $d\sigma : H \to TM$ is onto, at least in a neighborhood of M, and that the action is well-defined is a consequence of the fact that H is a subgroupoid. The associated local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G;\sigma^*(A/\mathfrak{g}))$ is given by:

$$\omega_g(v) = g^{-1} \cdot \big[\theta(v-u)\big] \in A_{\sigma(g)}/\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma(g)}, \quad v \in T_gG,$$

where $u \in H_g$ is any element such that $d\sigma(v) = d\sigma(u)$. Again, using that H is a subgroupoid, one can prove that, in a small enough neighborhood of M, ω is well-defined, that it is multiplicative, and that $\ker(\omega) = H$.

The infinitesimal counterpart of multiplicative distributions are the following objects on a Lie algebroid A: a subbundle $\mathfrak{g} \subset A$ and a Spencer operator of the form

$$D : \Gamma(A) \to \Omega^1(M; A/\mathfrak{g}), \qquad l = \mathrm{pr} : A \to A/\mathfrak{g}.$$

The action of A on $A/\mathfrak{g}$ is recovered from the relations (4.28) as the flat A-connection:

$$\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A/\mathfrak{g}) \to \Gamma(A/\mathfrak{g}), \quad \nabla_a(\mathrm{pr}(b)) = \iota_{\rho(b)} D(a) + \mathrm{pr}([a,b]).$$

The differentiation procedure is as follows. Given a local multiplicative distribution $H \subset TG$, the corresponding Spencer operator is explicitly given by $\mathfrak{g} = (H \cap T^\sigma G)|_M = H \cap A$, and

$$\iota_v D(a) = [\widetilde{v}, a^R] \mod \mathfrak{g}, \tag{5.40}$$

for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $a^R \in \Gamma(T^\sigma G)$ is the right invariant vector field induced by a, and $\widetilde{v} \in \Gamma(H)$ is any extension of v in H around M satisfying that $d\sigma(\widetilde{v}) = v$. In this case, we say that H integrates D.

For a fixed subbundle $\mathfrak{g} \subset A$ and a fixed local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, the above constructions give one-to-one correspondences between:

1. germs around M of multiplicative distributions $H \subset TG$ satisfying $H \cap A = \mathfrak{g}$;
2. pairs consisting of a germ of a local representation of G on $A/\mathfrak{g}$ and a germ of a local multiplicative 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(G;\sigma^*(A/\mathfrak{g}))$ satisfying $\omega|_A = \mathrm{pr} : A \to A/\mathfrak{g}$;
3. pairs consisting of a representation of A on $A/\mathfrak{g}$ and a degree one Spencer operator of the form (pr, D) on A with values in $A/\mathfrak{g}$.

For a spray groupoid $G_V \rightrightarrows M$, Theorem 4.18 makes the integration procedure, i.e. the passage from item 3 to item 2 above, also explicit. Namely, given a representation of A on $A/\mathfrak{g}$ and a compatible Spencer operator (pr, D) as above, then the corresponding local multiplicative 1-form is given by

$$\omega(v) = \int_0^1 (ta)^{-1} \cdot \Lambda_{(\mathrm{pr},D)}\big((\phi_V^t)_* v\big), \quad v \in T_a G_V,$$

where $\Lambda_{(\mathrm{pr},D)} \in \Omega^1_{\mathrm{lin}}(A; A/\mathfrak{g})$ is the linear form associated to (pr, D) (see Lemma 4.17), and the dot $\cdot$ is the local integration to G_V of the action of A on $A/\mathfrak{g}$ (see Subsection 4.5).

5.5 Local integration of Jacobi structures

Another type of geometric structures which can be encoded by Spencer operators are Jacobi structures. These were first considered independently by Kirillov [25] and Lichnerowicz [28]; here we follow the approach to Jacobi manifolds in terms Spencer operators developed in [14].

A Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{,\})$ consists of a line bundle L over the manifold M together with a Lie bracket $\{,\}$ on the space of sections of L which is local:

$$\mathrm{supp}\{u, v\} \subset \mathrm{supp}(u) \cap \mathrm{supp}(v), \quad u, v \in \Gamma(L).$$

The Jacobi bracket induces a Lie algebroid structure on the first jet bundle of L

$$q : J^1 L \to M.$$

The structure maps of this Lie algebroid are uniquely defined by the relations

$$\{u, fv\} = f\{u, v\} + \mathcal{L}_{\rho(j^1 u)}(f)v, \quad [j^1 u, j^1 v] = j^1\{u, v\}, \ f \in C^\infty(M), u, v \in \Gamma(L). \tag{5.41}$$

Further, the Lie algebroid $J^1 L$ carries a degree one Spencer operator

$$D : \Gamma(J^1 L) \to \Omega^1(M; L), \quad l = \mathrm{pr} : J^1 L \to L,$$

which is uniquely determined by the condition $D(j^1 u) = 0$, $u \in \Gamma(L)$, and the Leibniz identity with respect to pr. The linear one-form associated to (pr, D) is the canonical contact form $\Lambda \in \Omega^1_{\mathrm{lin}}(J^1 L; L)$

$$\Lambda_{j^1_x u} = d_x(\mathrm{pr} - u \circ q) : T_{j^1_x u}(J^1 L) \to L_x,$$

where we have used the canonical identification $L_x \cong T_{u(x)}(L_x)$.

Finally, the underlying representation $\nabla : \Gamma(J^1 L) \times \Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L)$ is defined by

$$\nabla_{j^1 u}(v) = \{u, v\}. \tag{5.42}$$

Example 5.3 (Contact structures as Jacobi brackets) Just as symplectic structures correspond to non-degenerate Poisson structures, contact structures correspond to non-degenerate Jacobi structures. Let H be a contact structure on M, i.e. $H \subset TM$ is a codimension-one distribution which is maximally non-integrable, in the sense that the pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : H_x \times H_x \to L_x := T_x M / H_x, \quad (X, Y) \mapsto [\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}]_x \mod H_x \tag{5.43}$$

is non-degenerate, where $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{Y} \in \Gamma(H)$ denote extensions of X and Y, resp. The Lie algebra of **Reeb vector fields** of the contact structure H, denoted $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}(M, H) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$, consists of vector fields $R \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ satisfying $[R, \Gamma(H)] \subset \Gamma(H)$. The projection $TM \to L$ induces a linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}(M, H) \cong \Gamma(L)$. This endows L with a canonical Jacobi bracket $\{,\}_H$.

A **Jacobi map** between Jacobi manifolds $(M_1, L_1, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{L_1})$ and $(M_2, L_2, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{L_2})$ consists of a smooth map $\psi : M_1 \to M_2$, and a line bundle isomorphism $\Psi : \psi^* L_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} L_1$ such that the map induced on sections $\Gamma(L_2) \to \Gamma(L_1)$, $u \mapsto \Psi(u \circ \psi)$ is a Lie algebra map. Following the previous example, a **contact realization** of a Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{,\})$ is a contact manifold (Σ, H) and a Jacobi map

$$(\psi, \Psi) : (\Sigma, L_H := T\Sigma/H, \{,\}_H) \to (M, L, \{,\})$$

such that ψ is a surjective submersion.

A **local contact groupoid** consists of a local Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ together with a local multiplicative distribution $H \subset TG$ which is contact. Let (G, H) be a local contact groupoid with Lie algebroid A. As discussed in the previous subsection, G has a canonical local action on the line bundle $L := A/(H \cap A)$ and a canonical multiplicative 1-form $\omega : TG \to L$, which satisfies $H = \ker \omega$, and so, it induces a fibre-wise invertible line bundle map

$$\overline{\omega} : L_H := TG/H \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^* L.$$

By adapting the arguments from [14] to this local setting, one can prove that:

- There is a unique Jacobi structure $(L, \{\cdot,\cdot\})$ such that $(\sigma, \overline{\omega})$ is a contact realization. This holds because: the Jacobi bracket corresponding to H comes from the isomorphism $\Gamma(L_H) \cong \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}(G, H)$; and we have the following equality around M

  $$\omega\big(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}_{\text{L-inv}}(G, H)\big) = \sigma^*(\Gamma(L)) \subset \Gamma(\sigma^*(L)),$$

  where $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}_{\text{L-inv}}(G, H)$ denotes the space of local Reeb vector fields on G that are left-invariant (and so, tangent to the τ-fibres).

- There is a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebroids $\Phi : J^1 L \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, between the Lie algebroid $J^1 L$ corresponding to the Jacobi structure on L and the Lie algebroid A of G, which is uniquely determined by the relation:

  $$\Phi(j^1 u) = d\iota(a^L_u)|_M \in \Gamma(A), \quad u \in \Gamma(L),$$

  where $a^L_u \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{Reeb}}_{\text{L-inv}}(G, H)$ denotes the left-invariant Reeb vector field satisfying $\omega(a^L_u) = u \circ \sigma$, and ι denotes the inversion of G.

- Under the isomorphism $\Phi : J^1 L \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, the multiplicative form ω differentiates to the canonical Spencer operator (pr, D) on $J^1 L$.

Next, let us explain what our spray method gives in the case of Jacobi manifolds. Consider a Jacobi structure $(M, L, \{\cdot,\cdot\})$. Let V be a spray on the associated Lie algebroid $J^1 L$, and let $G_V \rightrightarrows M$ be the corresponding local spray groupoid. By the discussion in the previous section, the multiplicative L-valued form integrating the Spencer operator (pr, D) is given by:

$$\omega \in \Omega^1(G_V, \sigma^*(L)), \quad \omega_a = \int_0^1 (ta)^{-1} \cdot (\phi^t_V)^* \Lambda_{(\mathrm{pr}, D)}\, dt.$$

Moreover, the corresponding local multiplicative distribution $H := \ker(\omega) \subset TG_V$ is contact. For this note that

- $\ker(\mathrm{pr}) \subset J^1 L$ can be canonically identified with $T^*M \otimes L$;

- along the unit section, we have that $H|_M = TM \oplus \ker(\mathrm{pr}) \cong TM \oplus T^*M \otimes L$; and, under this isomorphism, for $a \in \Omega^1(M, L)$ we have that $D(a) = -a$;
- along the unit section, by (5.40), the pairing (5.43) satisfies:

$$(X, a) = \mathrm{pr}([\widetilde{X}, a^R])_x = i_X D(a) = -i_X a \in \Gamma(L),$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $a \in \Omega^1(M, L)$, where $\widetilde{X} \in \Gamma(H)$ is an extension of X such that $d\sigma(\widetilde{X}) = X$, and $a^R \in \Gamma(T^\sigma G)$ is the right invariant vector field induced by a.

The last item implies that the pairing is non-degenerate along M; hence it is so in a neighborhood of M, which can be taken to be the domain of H. So $(G_V, H) \rightrightarrows M$ is a local contact groupoid. Finally, by the discussion above, we have that $(G_V, H) \rightrightarrows M$ integrates the Jacobi manifold $(M, L, \{,\})$, in the sense that the the source map $\sigma : G_V \to M$ together with $\overline{\omega} : TG_V/H \to \sigma^* L$ define a contact realization (the proof of [14, Theorem 2] applies directly in this local case).

Example 5.4 Assume that L is trivializable and fix an isomorphism $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$. Then there exist a bivector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ and a vector field $R \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that [25]

$$\{u, v\} = \pi(du, dv) + \langle R, du\rangle v - u\langle R, dv\rangle, \quad u, v \in \Gamma(L) \cong C^\infty(M).$$

The Jacobi identity for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is equivalent to the equations: $[\pi, \pi] = 2R \wedge \pi$, $[\pi, R] = 0$. In this case, we have the identification

$$J^1 L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M, \quad j^1_x u \mapsto (u(x), d_x u).$$

The canonical Spencer operator acts as follows:

$$D : C^\infty(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M) \to \Omega^1(M), \quad D(u, a) = du - a.$$

The corresponding linear 1-form is the contact form:

$$\Lambda = d\mathrm{pr}_1 - \mathrm{pr}_2^* \alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R} \times T^*M),$$

where $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(T^*M)$ is the tautological 1-form.

For the Lie algebroid structure on $J^1 L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$, see e.g. [15]; let us just recall the anchor:

$$\rho(u, a) = \pi^\sharp(a) - uR,$$

and the action of $J^1 L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$ on $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$

$$\nabla_{(u,a)} v = \rho(u, a)(v) + \langle R, a\rangle v,$$

i.e. the action corresponding to the Lie algebroid cocycle

$$\widetilde{R} : J^1 L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, a) \mapsto \langle R_{q(a)}, a\rangle.$$

Let V be a spray for $J^1 L \cong \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$, with spray groupoid G_V. By Example 3.15, the above cocycle integrates to the groupoid cocycle

$$G_V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, a) \mapsto \int_0^1 \langle R, a_t\rangle \, dt = \int_0^1 \widetilde{R}(\phi_V^t) \, dt,$$

where a_t is the second component of the flow of V, i.e. $\phi_V^t(u,a) = (u_t, a_t)$. Therefore, the action of G_V on $L \cong \mathbb{R} \times M$ is:

$$(u,a)\cdot(v,x) = \big(e^{\int_0^1 \widetilde{R}(\phi_V^t)dt}v, \tau(u,a)\big) = \big(e^{\int_0^1 \langle R, a_t\rangle dt}v, q(a_1)\big), \quad a \in T_x^*M,\ u,v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\phi_V^s(tu,ta) = t\phi_V^{st}(u,a)$, note that $(tu,ta)\cdot(v,x) = (e^{\int_0^t \langle R, a_s\rangle ds}v, \tau(tu,ta))$. Thus, the multiplicative 1-form integrating (pr, D) becomes:

$$\omega_{(u,a)} = \int_0^1 e^{-\int_0^t \langle R, a_s\rangle ds}(\phi_V^t)^*(d\mathrm{pr}_1 - \mathrm{pr}_2^*\alpha_0)\ dt.$$

References

[1] R. Almeida, P. Molino, Suites d'Atiyah et feuilletages transversalement complets, Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris série I Math. **300** (1985), no. 1, 13–15.

[2] C. Arias Abad, M. Crainic, The Weil algebra and the Van Est isomorphism, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **61** (2011), no. 3, 927–970.

[3] D. Broka, P. Xu, Symplectic realizations of holomorphic Poisson manifolds, preprint (2015) arXiv:1512.08847.

[4] H. Bursztyn, A. Cabrera, Multiplicative forms at the infinitesimal level, *Math. Ann.* Vol. 353, (2012) no. 5, 663–705.

[5] H. Bursztyn, M. Crainic, A. Weinstein, C. Zhu, Integration of twisted Dirac brackets, *Duke Math. J.* **123** (2004), 549–607.

[6] H. Bursztyn, T. Drummond, Lie theory of multiplicative tensors, preprint.

[7] A. Cabrera, T. Drummond, Van est isomorphism for homogeneous cochains, to appear in *Pac. J. Math.*

[8] A. Coste, P. Dazord, A. Weinstein, Groupoïdes symplectiques, *Publications du Département de Mathématiques. Nouvelle Série. A,* Vol. 2, iii, 1–62, Publ. Dép. Math. Nouvelle Sér. A, 87-2, Univ. Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 1987.

[9] M. Crainic, Differentiable and algebroid cohomology, van Est isomorphisms, and characteristic classes, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **78** (2003), no. 4, 681–721.

[10] M. Crainic, Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **42** (2011), no. 4, 559–578.

[11] M. Crainic, R.L. Fernandes, Integrability of Lie brackets, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **157** (2003), no. 2, 575–620.

[12] M. Crainic, I. Mărcuț, On the existence of symplectic realizations, *J. Symplectic Geom.*, **9**, (2011), no. 4, 435–444.

[13] M. Crainic, M.A. Salazar, I. Struchiner, Multiplicative forms and Spencer operators, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **279**, (2015), 939-979.

[14] M. Crainic, M.A. Salazar, Jacobi structures and Spencer operators, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **103**, (2015), no. 2, 504–521.

[15] M. Crainic, C. Zhu, Integrability of Jacobi and Poisson structures, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **57** (2007) 1181–1216.

[16] J. Duistermaat, J. Kolk, *Lie groups, Universitext*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[17] W. T. van Est, Rapport sur les S-atlas, Transversal structure of foliations (Toulouse, 1982). *Astérisque* No. **116** (1984), 235–292.

[18] R.L. Fernandes, Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes, *Adv. Math.* **170** (2002), no. 1, 119–179.

[19] R.L. Fernandes, I. Struchiner, The classifying Lie algebroid of a geometric structure. I: Classes of coframes. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **366**, no. 5, 2419–2462 (2014).

[20] P. Frejlich, I. Mărcuț, On dual pairs in Dirac geometry, preprint arXiv:1602.02700v2.

[21] A. Gracia-Saz, R. Mehta, Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids, *Adv. Math.* **223** (2010), 1236–1275.

[22] M. Gualtieri, Generalized complex geometry, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.

[23] P.J. Higgins, K. Mackenzie, Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids, *J. Algebra* **129**, (1990), no. 1, 194–230.

[24] D. Iglesias Ponte, C. Laurent-Gangoux, P. Xu, Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **14**, no. 3, (2012), 681–731.

[25] A.A. Kirillov, Local Lie algebras, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **31** (1976) 57–76.

[26] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F. Magri, Poisson-Nijnehuis structures, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **53** (1990), 35–81.

[27] D. Li-Bland, E. Meinrenken, On the van Est homomorphism for Lie groupoids, *Enseign. Math.* **61** (2015), no. 1–2, 93–137.

[28] A. Lichnerowicz, Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associés, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **57** (1978) 453–488.

[29] K. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, Cambridge University Press, (2005).

[30] F. Petalidou, On the symplectic realization of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds, Preprint arXiv:1501.07830.

[31] J. Pradines, Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables, Relations entre propriétés locales et globales, Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **263** A-B (1966), A907–A910.

[32] J. Pradines, Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables, Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux, Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **264** A (1967), 245–248.

[33] J. Pradines, Troisième théorème de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables, Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **267**, série A (1968), 21–23.

[34] M.A. Salazar, *Pfaffian groupoids*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Utrecht, 2013.

[35] M. Stiénon, P. Xu, Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **270** (2007), no. 3, 709–725.

[36] O. Yudilevich, The role of the Jacobi identity in solving the Maurer-Cartan structure equation, *Pacific J. Math.* **282** (2016), no. 2, 487–510.